Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics (2008) 32: 459–466

Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics © SEAMS. 2008

M-Hyponormal Powers of Weighted Composition **Operators**

M.R. Jabbarzadeh¹^{*}, H. Emamalipour² and Y.N. Dehghan³

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran E-mail: ¹mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir, ²hemamali@yahoo.com, ³nejad@tabrizu.ac.ir

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 47B20; Secondary 47B38

Abstract. In this note, M-hyponormality powers of weighted composition operators on L^2 -spaces are characterized and their various properties are studied.

Keywords: Weighted composition operator; Conditional expectation; M-hyponormal.

1. Preliminaries And Notations

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and suppose that T is a measurable transformation from X into X such that $\mu \circ T^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and write $\mu \circ T^{-1} \ll \mu$. Let h be the Radon-Nikodym derivative $d\mu \circ T^{-1}/d\mu$ and we always assume that h is almost everywhere finitevalued or, equivalently $(X, T^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu)$ is σ -finite. All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a μ -null set. To examine the weighted composition operators efficiently, Lambert in [8] associated with each transformation T , the so-called conditional expectation operator $E(\bullet|T^{-1}(\Sigma)) = E_i(\bullet)$. $E(f)$ is defined for each non-negative measurable function f or for each $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, and is uniquely determined by the conditions:

(i) $E(f)$ is $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable and

(i) $E(j)$ is I^{∞} (\sum)-measurable and
(ii) If A is any $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable set for which $\int_A f d\mu$ converges we have

$$
\int_{T^{-1}(A)} f d\mu = \int_{T^{-1}(A)} E(f) d\mu.
$$

Received September 16 2005, Accepted October 6 2006.

[∗]The first author was supported by the Research Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

As an operator on $L^2(\Sigma)$, $E(\bullet)$ is the contractive orthogonal projection onto $L^2(T^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \overline{R(C_T)}$, the closure of the range of C_T , used by Harrington and Whitley in [5]. It is easy to show that for each non-negative Σ -measurable function f or for each $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, there exists a Σ -measurable function g such that $E(f) = g \circ T$. We can assume that the support of g, $\sigma(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) \neq 0\}$ 0}, lies in the $\sigma(h)$ and there exists only one g with this property. We then write $g = E(f) \circ T^{-1}$ though we make no assumptions regarding the invertibility of T (see [2]). For further discussion of the conditional expectation operator see the interesting papers [6], [8] and [3]. If $u : X \to C$ is a measurable function, the weighted composition operator $W_T = uC_T$ on $L^2(\Sigma)$ induced by T and u is given by

$$
W_T(f) = u.f \circ T, \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).
$$

Here, the non-singularity of T guarantees that W_T is well defined as a mapping of equivalence classes of functions on $\sigma(u)$. In this case, the adjoint W^*_T is given by

$$
W_T^* f = hE(uf) \circ T^{-1}, \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).
$$

If $W_T(L^2(\Sigma)) \subseteq L^2(\Sigma)$, by the closed graph theorem W_T is bounded. Boundedness of weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$ spaces already being studied in [6]. Namely, W_T is bounded if $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$.

Let $B(H)$ denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space H. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called M-hyponormal if there exists some $M > 0$ such that $||T^*x|| \le M||Tx||$ for all $x \in H$.

Power-hyponormality of composition operators in $L^2(\Sigma)$ appeared already in [4] and for adjoint of weighted composition operators in the paper [3]. Also, the analogous results for M-cohyponormality of composition operators has been studied in [7]. Namely, if $C_{T_1}^*$ and $C_{T_2}^*$ are both M-hyponormal with $h_1 \leq$ $M^2(h_2 \circ T_2)$ a.e. and $h_2 \leq M^2(h_1 \circ T_1)$ a.e., then for all positive integers m, *n* and *p*, $[(C_{T_1}^m C_{T_2}^n)^p]^*$ is $M^{p^2(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal. The aim of this paper is to generalize the results obtained for composition operators in [7] to the weighted composition operators.

2. Lemmas and Main Result

In the following we list some facts that will be applied often in this article (see $[1, 8]$: $\ddot{}$ R

- $\int_X f \circ T d\mu =$ $\int_X h.f d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ (change of variables formula).
- $||W_T f|| = ||M_{\sqrt{J}} f||$ where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$.
- If u is in $L^{\infty}(T^{-1}(\Sigma))$, then $E(uf) = uE(f)$.
- For any f and g in $L^2(\Sigma)$ and any $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable set A,
- $\int_{A} E(f)E(g)d\mu = \int_{A} fE(g)d\mu.$
- For any f and g in $L^2(\Sigma)$, $|E(fg)|^2 \leq E(|f|^2)E(|g|^2)$.

M-Hyponormal Powers of Weighted Composition Operators 461

Proposition 2.1. [4] Let T_i be a measurable transformation of X such that $\mu \circ T_i^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $h_i = d\mu \circ T_i^{-1}/d\mu \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ for $i =$ 1, 2, and $T_3: X \to X$ given by $T_3 = T_1 \circ T_2$. Then $h_3 = d\mu \circ T_3^{-1}/d\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $h_3 = h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1}$ where $E(\bullet|T_i^{-1}(\Sigma)) =$ $E_i(\bullet)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Sigma$. By using of conditional expectation operator and change of variables formula we have

$$
\int_{A} h_3 d\mu = \int_{A} \frac{d\mu \circ (T_1 \circ T_2)^{-1}}{d\mu} d\mu = \int_{A} d\mu \circ T_2^{-1} \circ T_1^{-1}
$$

$$
= \int_{T_1^{-1}(A)} d\mu \circ T_2^{-1} = \int_{T_1^{-1}(A)} h_2 d\mu = \int_{T_1^{-1}(A)} E_1(h_2) d\mu
$$

$$
= \int_{A} E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1} d\mu \circ T_1^{-1} = \int_{A} h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1} d\mu.
$$

Since (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, so the proof is therefore complete.

For a generalization of the above fact, we define the measure $\mu_{T_i,u}(E)$ = R $T_{T_i^{-1}(E)} |u|^2 d\mu$, for $E \in \Sigma$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then it is easy to see that $\mu_{T_i, u} \ll \mu$. Put $H_i = d\mu_{T_i, u}/d\mu$ which, of course, is a non-negative Σ -measurable function. By simple calculation we have $H_i = h_i E_i(|u|^2) \circ T_i^{-1}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $H_3 =$ $h_1E_1(H_2) \circ T_1^{-1}.$

Example 2.2. Let $X = [-1,1], d\mu = \frac{1}{2}dx$ and Σ the Lebesgue sets. De-Example 2.2. Let $X = [-1, 1]$, $a\mu = \frac{1}{2}ax$ and 2 the Lebesgue sets. Define $T_i: X \to X$ by $T_1(x) = (\sqrt{1+x} - 1)\chi_{[-1,0]} + (1 - \sqrt{1-x})\chi_{(0,1]}$ and The $T_1: X \to X$ by $T_1(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)X_{[-1,0]} + (x_1 - x_4)X_{[-1,0]}$ and
 $T_2(x) = \sqrt[3]{3x}$. One easily verifies that $E_1(f) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ for all positive measurable function f on X. Direct computation shows that $h_1(x) =$ $(2+2x)\chi_{[-1,0]} + (2-2x)\chi_{(0,1]}, E_1(h_2(x)) = x^2$ and $(h_1E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1})(x) =$ $(2+2x)(2x+x^2)\chi_{[-1,0]}+(2-2x)(2x-x^2)\chi_{[0,1]}=h_3(x)$. Now, if we take $u(x) = x$, then $H_2(x) = x^8/9$ and $(h_1E_1(H_2) \circ T_1^{-1})(x) = 1/9(2 + 2x)(2x +$ $(x^2)^8 \chi_{[-1,0]} + 1/9(2-2x)(2x-x^2)^8 \chi_{(0,1]} = H_3(x).$

In order to prove our main result, it is necessary to state and prove several lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. If W_T^* is M-hyponormal, then $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$, where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ$ T^{-1} .

Proof. Since W_T^* is M-hyponormal, then

$$
\|W_Tf\|^2 \le M^2 \|W_T^*f\|^2, \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\Sigma).
$$

To assert the inequality it suffices to show that $||W_T f||^2 = (Jf, f)$ and $||W^*_T f||^2 \leq ((J \circ T) f, f)$. For all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, we have

$$
||W_Tf||^2 = \int |uf \circ T|^2 d\mu = \int |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu = \int E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

=
$$
\int hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu = (hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} f, f) = (Jf, f);
$$

therefore, the first identity holds. On the other hand, we have

$$
||W_T^* f||^2 = (W_T^* f, W_T^* f) = (W_T W_T^* f, f) = (uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f), f)
$$

$$
= \int uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f)\overline{f}d\mu = \int (h \circ T)E(\overline{u}f)E(u\overline{f})d\mu
$$

$$
= \int (h \circ T)|E(\overline{u}f)|^2d\mu \le \int (h \circ T)E(|u|^2)E(|f|^2)d\mu
$$

$$
\int (h \circ T)E(|u|^2)f\overline{f}d\mu = \int (J \circ T)f\overline{f}d\mu = ((J \circ T)f, f).
$$

Hence $(Jf, f) \leq M^2((J \circ T)f, f)$. Since f is an arbitrary element of $L^2(\Sigma)$, we have $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$; and the proof is therefore complete. \blacksquare

Example 2.4. Let $X = [0, 1], d\mu = dx$ and Σ the Lebesgue sets. Let $T : X \to X$ be defined by $T(x) = 4x - 4x^2$ and let u be the map $u(x) = (4 - 8x)(\chi_{[0,1/2]}$ be defined by $T(x) = 4x - 4x$ and let *u* be the map $u(x) = (4 - 8x)(x[0,1/2] - x(1/2,1))$ on *X*. Then a simple computation gives $||W^*T|| = ||f((1 + √1 - x)/2) + (x+2)(1/2 - x^2)/2||$ $f((1 - \sqrt{1 - x})/2)$, $||W_T f|| = ||\sqrt[4]{64(1 - x)}f||$ and $J \circ T(x) = 8|2x - 1|$. So for each $M > 2^{-3/4}$, $J \geq M^2(J \circ T)$ and hence by lemma 2.3, W_T is not Mhyponormal operator on $L^2(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 2.5. If W^*_T is M-hyponormal, then for $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $((J \circ T)Ef, f) =$ $((J \circ T)f, f)$ where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, then we have

$$
((J \circ T)Ef, f) = \int (J \circ T)(Ef)\overline{f}d\mu = \int (J \circ T)EfE\overline{f}d\mu
$$

$$
= \int (J \circ T)|Ef|^2d\mu \le \int (J \circ T)E(|f|^2)d\mu
$$

$$
= \int (J \circ T)|f|^2d\mu = ((J \circ T)f, f)
$$

Hence $((J \circ T)Ef, f) \le ((J \circ T)f, f)$. On the other hand, since W^*_T is Mhyponormal, then

$$
KerE = \overline{(R(W_T))}^{\perp} = KerW_T^* \subseteq Ker(W_T).
$$

Now, since for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $Ef - f \in Ker E$, then $Ef - f \in Ker(W_T)$. This shows that

$$
\int J(Ef - f)d\mu = \int hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}(Ef - f)d\mu
$$

$$
= \int E(|u|^2)(Ef - f) \circ Td\mu = \int |u|^2(Ef - f) \circ Td\mu = 0.
$$

It follows that $J(Ef - f) = 0$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that for all $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$, we have

$$
((J \circ T)(Ef - f), g) = \int (J \circ T)(Ef - f)\overline{g}d\mu \ge \frac{1}{M^2} \int J(Ef - f)\overline{g}d\mu = 0.
$$

We conclude that $((J \circ T)(Ef - f), g) \ge 0$, hence $(J \circ T)Ef \ge (J \circ T)f$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.5 can be extended to give the following result.

Lemma 2.6. If W_T^* is M-hyponormal, then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $n \in N$

$$
((J^n \circ T)Ef, f) = ((J^n \circ T)f, f).
$$

Lemma 2.7. If $J \leq M^2(h \circ T)$, for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $r, m \in N$, then

$$
((J\circ T)^rW^m_Tf,W^m_Tf)\leq M^{(m-1)(2r+m)}(J^{r+m}f,f).
$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on m and fixed r. For $m = 1$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$,

$$
((J \circ T)^r W_T f, W_T f) = \int (J \circ T)^r u f \circ T. \overline{u f \circ T} d\mu
$$

$$
= \int (J \circ T)^r |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu = \int J^r \circ TE(|u|^2)|f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

$$
= \int J^r h E(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu = \int J^r J f \overline{f} d\mu = (J^{r+1} f, f),
$$

which shows that the Lemma holds for $m = 1$. Now assuming that the Lemma

holds for $m = 1, 2, ..., k$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then we have

$$
((J \circ T)^r W_T^{k+1} f, W_T^{k+1} f) = ((J \circ T)^r W_T^k W_T f, W_T^k W_T f)
$$

\n
$$
\leq M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} (J^{r+k} W_T f, W_T f) = M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} \int J^{r+k} |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

\n
$$
= M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} \int J^{r+k} E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

\n
$$
\leq M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} M^{2(r+k)} \int (J \circ T)^{r+k} E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

\n
$$
= M^{k(2r+k+1)} \int J^{r+k} h E(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu
$$

\n
$$
= M^{k(2r+k+1)} \int J^{r+k} J f \overline{f} d\mu = M^{k(2r+k+1)} (J^{r+k+1} f, f),
$$

which shows that the result holds for $m = k + 1$. Thus the result holds for all $r, m \in N$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.8. If W_T^* is M-hyponormal and $u \in L^{\infty}(T^{-1}\Sigma)$ then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $r, m \in N$, we have

$$
M^{(m-1)(2r+m)}(J^r(W_T^m)^*f,(W_T^m)^*f) \ge ((J \circ T)^{r+m}f,f).
$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on m and fixed r . Suppose that $m = 1$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$
(J^r W_T^* f, W_T^* f) = ((J^r \circ T) W_T W_T^* f, f) = ((J^r \circ T) uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f), f)
$$

= ((J^r \circ T) |u|^2 h \circ TEf, f) = ((J^r \circ T) E(|u|^2) h \circ TEf, f)
= ((J^r \circ T)(J \circ T) Ef, f) = ((J^{r+1} \circ T)f, f),

which shows that the Lemma holds for $m = 1$. The rest of the proof can be repeated as in Lemma 2.8 in [7].

Lemma 2.9. If $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$, then for all $n \in N$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $((W_T^n)^*(W_T^n)f, f) \leq M^{n(n-1)}(J^n f, f).$

Proof. Since $W^*_TW_Tf = Jf$, hence the result holds for $n = 1$. Let us suppose that the result is true for $n = r$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. First we show that

$$
(J^k W_T f, W_T f) \le M^{2k} (J^{k+1} f, f). \tag{1}
$$

Suppose $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$
(J^k W_T f, W_T f) = \int J^k W_T f \overline{W_T f} d\mu = \int J^k |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

=
$$
\int J^k E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu \le M^2 \int (J^k \circ T) E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu
$$

=
$$
M^{2k} \int J^k h E(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} f \overline{f} d\mu = M^{2k} \int J^{k+1} f \overline{f} d\mu = M^{2k} (J^{k+1} f, f).
$$

Hence, by induction hypothesis and (1), we have

$$
((W_T^{k+1})^*(W_T^{k+1})f, f) = ((W_T^k)^*(W_T^k)W_Tf, W_Tf)
$$

\n
$$
\leq M^{k(k-1)}(J^kW_Tf, W_Tf) \leq M^{k(k-1)}M^{2k}(J^{k+1}f, f)
$$

\n
$$
= M^{k(k+1)}(J^{k+1}f, f).
$$

Lemma 2.10. If $(uC_T)^*$ is M-hyponormal and $u \in L^{\infty}(T^{-1}(\Sigma))$ then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $n \in N$

$$
M^{n(n-1)}((W_T^n)^t W_T^n)^* f, f) \ge ((J \circ T)^n f, f) .
$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on n. Suppose that $n = 1$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$
(W^T W_T^* f, f) = \int uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f) \overline{f} d\mu = \int h \circ T |u|^2 E(|f|^2) d\mu
$$

=
$$
\int h \circ TE(|f|^2) E(|u|^2) d\mu = \int (J \circ T) f \overline{f} d\mu = ((J \circ T) f, f).
$$

Now, assume that the Lemma holds for n . Then by using Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
((W_T^{n+1})(W_T^{n+1})^*f, f) = (W_T W_T^n (W_T^n)^* W_T^* f, f)
$$

=
$$
(W_T^n (W_T^n)^* W_T^* f, W_T^* f) \ge \frac{1}{M^{n(n-1)}} ((J \circ T)^n W_T^* f, W^* f)
$$

$$
\ge \frac{1}{M^{n(n-1)}} \frac{1}{M^{2n}} ((J)^n W_T^* f, W^* f) = \frac{1}{M^{n(n+1)}} ((J^{n+1} \circ T) f, f),
$$

which completes the induction step and the Lemma is proved.

 \blacksquare

If we change the role of h and C_T with J and W_T respectively and using previous lemmas in this paper, we can prove the following theorem similar to the proof used in [7].

Theorem 2.11. (a) If W_T^* is M-hyponormal, then for all $n \in N$, $(W_T^*)^n$

is M^{n^2} -hyponormal.

(b) Put
$$
A = W_{T_1}
$$
 and $B = W_{T_2}$. If A^* and B^* are M-hyponormal such that

 $J_1 \leq M^2(J_2 \circ T_2), \quad (J_1 = h_1 E_1(|u|^2) \circ T_1^{-1})$

and

$$
J_2 \le M^2(J_1 \circ T_1), \quad (J_2 = h_2 E_2(|u|^2) \circ T_2^{-1}).
$$

Then $(A^mB^n)^*$ is $M^{(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal for all $m, n \in N$.

(c) Under the hypothesis of (b), $[(A^m B^n)^p]^*$ is $M^{p^2(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal.

References

- [1] C. Burnap and A. Lambert : Reducibility of composition operators on L^2 , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178**, 87-101 (1993).
- [2] James T. Campbell and J. Jamison : On some classes of weighted composition operators, Glasgow Math. J. 32, 87-94 (1990).
- [3] James T. Campbell and W. Hornor : Localising and seminormal composition operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 124, 301-316 (1994).
- [4] P. Dibrell and James T. Campbell : Hyponormal powers of composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102, 914-918 (1988).
- [5] David J. Harrington and R. Whitley : Seminormal composition operators,J. Operator Theory $11, 125-135$ (1984).
- [6] T. Hoover, A. Lambert and J. Quinn : The Markov process determined by a weighted composition operator, *Studia Math.* (Poland) LXXII, 225-235 (1982).
- [7] S.K. Khurana and B. Ram : M-Cohyponormality powers of composition operators, it J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 53, 9-16 (1992).
- [8] A. Lambert, Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 118, 111-118 (1991).