Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics (2008) 32: 459-466

M-Hyponormal Powers of Weighted Composition Operators

M.R. Jabbarzadeh^{1*}, H. Emamalipour² and Y.N. Dehghan³

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran E-mail: ¹mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir, ²hemamali@yahoo.com, ³nejad@tabrizu.ac.ir

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 47B20; Secondary 47B38

Abstract. In this note, M-hyponormality powers of weighted composition operators on L^2 -spaces are characterized and their various properties are studied.

Keywords: Weighted composition operator; Conditional expectation; M-hyponormal.

1. Preliminaries And Notations

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and suppose that T is a measurable transformation from X into X such that $\mu \circ T^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and write $\mu \circ T^{-1} \ll \mu$. Let h be the Radon-Nikodym derivative $d\mu \circ T^{-1}/d\mu$ and we always assume that h is almost everywhere finitevalued or, equivalently $(X, T^{-1}(\Sigma), \mu)$ is σ -finite. All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a μ -null set. To examine the weighted composition operators efficiently, Lambert in [8] associated with each transformation T, the so-called conditional expectation operator $E(\bullet|T^{-1}(\Sigma)) = E_i(\bullet)$. E(f) is defined for each non-negative measurable function f or for each $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, and is uniquely determined by the conditions:

(i) E(f) is $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable and

(ii) If A is any $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable set for which $\int_A f d\mu$ converges we have

$$\int_{T^{-1}(A)} f d\mu = \int_{T^{-1}(A)} E(f) d\mu.$$

Received September 16 2005, Accepted October 6 2006.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The first author was supported by the Research Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

As an operator on $L^2(\Sigma)$, $E(\bullet)$ is the contractive orthogonal projection onto $L^2(T^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \overline{R(C_T)}$, the closure of the range of C_T , used by Harrington and Whitley in [5]. It is easy to show that for each non-negative Σ -measurable function f or for each $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, there exists a Σ -measurable function g such that $E(f) = g \circ T$. We can assume that the support of $g, \sigma(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) \neq g(x) \}$ 0}, lies in the $\sigma(h)$ and there exists only one g with this property. We then write $q = E(f) \circ T^{-1}$ though we make no assumptions regarding the invertibility of T (see [2]). For further discussion of the conditional expectation operator see the interesting papers [6], [8] and [3]. If $u: X \to C$ is a measurable function, the weighted composition operator $W_T = uC_T$ on $L^2(\Sigma)$ induced by T and u is given by

$$W_T(f) = u.f \circ T, \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

Here, the non-singularity of T guarantees that W_T is well defined as a mapping of equivalence classes of functions on $\sigma(u)$. In this case, the adjoint W_{T}^{*} is given by

$$W_T^* f = hE(uf) \circ T^{-1}, \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

If $W_T(L^2(\Sigma)) \subseteq L^2(\Sigma)$, by the closed graph theorem W_T is bounded. Boundedness of weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$ spaces already being studied in [6]. Namely, W_T is bounded if $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$.

Let B(H) denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space H. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called M-hyponormal if there exists some M > 0 such that $||T^*x|| \le M ||Tx||$ for all $x \in H$.

Power-hyponormality of composition operators in $L^2(\Sigma)$ appeared already in [4] and for adjoint of weighted composition operators in the paper [3]. Also, the analogous results for M-cohyponormality of composition operators has been studied in [7]. Namely, if $C_{T_1}^*$ and $C_{T_2}^*$ are both *M*-hyponormal with $h_1 \leq M^2(h_2 \circ T_2)$ a.e. and $h_2 \leq M^2(h_1 \circ T_1)$ a.e., then for all positive integers m, n and p, $[(C_{T_1}^m C_{T_2}^n)^p]^*$ is $M^{p^2(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal. The aim of this paper is to generalize the results obtained for composition operators in [7] to the weighted composition operators.

2. Lemmas and Main Result

In the following we list some facts that will be applied often in this article (see [1, 8]:

- $\int_X f \circ T d\mu = \int_X h.f d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$ (change of variables formula). $\|W_T f\| = \|M_{\sqrt{J}} f\|$ where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$.
- If u is in $L^{\infty}(T^{-1}(\Sigma))$, then E(uf) = uE(f).
- For any f and g in $L^2(\Sigma)$ and any $T^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable set A,
- $$\begin{split} &\int_A E(f)E(g)d\mu = \int_A fE(g)d\mu.\\ &\bullet \text{ For any }f \text{ and }g \text{ in }L^2(\Sigma),\, |E(fg)|^2 \leq E(|f|^2)E(|g|^2). \end{split}$$

M-Hyponormal Powers of Weighted Composition Operators

Proposition 2.1. [4] Let T_i be a measurable transformation of X such that $\mu \circ T_i^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $h_i = d\mu \circ T_i^{-1}/d\mu \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ for $i = 1, 2, and T_3 : X \to X$ given by $T_3 = T_1 \circ T_2$. Then $h_3 = d\mu \circ T_3^{-1}/d\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $h_3 = h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1}$ where $E(\bullet|T_i^{-1}(\Sigma)) = E_i(\bullet)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Sigma$. By using of conditional expectation operator and change of variables formula we have

$$\int_{A} h_{3} d\mu = \int_{A} \frac{d\mu \circ (T_{1} \circ T_{2})^{-1}}{d\mu} d\mu = \int_{A} d\mu \circ T_{2}^{-1} \circ T_{1}^{-1}$$
$$= \int_{T_{1}^{-1}(A)} d\mu \circ T_{2}^{-1} = \int_{T_{1}^{-1}(A)} h_{2} d\mu = \int_{T_{1}^{-1}(A)} E_{1}(h_{2}) d\mu$$
$$= \int_{A} E_{1}(h_{2}) \circ T_{1}^{-1} d\mu \circ T_{1}^{-1} = \int_{A} h_{1} E_{1}(h_{2}) \circ T_{1}^{-1} d\mu.$$

Since (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, so the proof is therefore complete.

For a generalization of the above fact, we define the measure $\mu_{T_i,u}(E) = \int_{T_i^{-1}(E)} |u|^2 d\mu$, for $E \in \Sigma$ and i = 1, 2. Then it is easy to see that $\mu_{T_i,u} \ll \mu$. Put $H_i = d\mu_{T_i,u}/d\mu$ which, of course, is a non-negative Σ -measurable function. By simple calculation we have $H_i = h_i E_i(|u|^2) \circ T_i^{-1}$ (i = 1, 2) and $H_3 = h_1 E_1(H_2) \circ T_1^{-1}$.

Example 2.2. Let X = [-1,1], $d\mu = \frac{1}{2}dx$ and Σ the Lebesgue sets. Define $T_i : X \to X$ by $T_1(x) = (\sqrt{1+x}-1)\chi_{[-1,0]} + (1-\sqrt{1-x})\chi_{(0,1]}$ and $T_2(x) = \sqrt[3]{3x}$. One easily verifies that $E_1(f) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ for all positive measurable function f on X. Direct computation shows that $h_1(x) = (2+2x)\chi_{[-1,0]} + (2-2x)\chi_{(0,1]}$, $E_1(h_2(x)) = x^2$ and $(h_1E_1(h_2) \circ T_1^{-1})(x) = (2+2x)(2x+x^2)\chi_{[-1,0]} + (2-2x)(2x-x^2)\chi_{(0,1]} = h_3(x)$. Now, if we take u(x) = x, then $H_2(x) = x^8/9$ and $(h_1E_1(H_2) \circ T_1^{-1})(x) = 1/9(2+2x)(2x+x^2)^8\chi_{[-1,0]} + 1/9(2-2x)(2x-x^2)^8\chi_{(0,1]} = H_3(x)$.

In order to prove our main result, it is necessary to state and prove several lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. If W_T^* is M-hyponormal, then $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$, where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}$.

Proof. Since W_T^* is *M*-hyponormal, then

$$|| W_T f ||^2 \le M^2 || W_T^* f ||^2$$
, for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$.

To assert the inequality it suffices to show that $|| W_T f ||^2 = (Jf, f)$ and $||W_T^* f ||^2 \leq ((J \circ T)f, f)$. For all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, we have

$$\| W_T f \|^2 = \int |uf \circ T|^2 d\mu = \int |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu = \int E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu$$
$$= \int hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu = (hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}f, f) = (Jf, f);$$

therefore, the first identity holds. On the other hand, we have

$$\| W_T^* f \|^2 = (W_T^* f, W_T^* f) = (W_T W_T^* f, f) = (uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f), f)$$

$$= \int uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f)\overline{f}d\mu = \int (h \circ T)E(\overline{u}f)E(u\overline{f})d\mu$$

$$= \int (h \circ T)|E(\overline{u}f)|^2d\mu \leq \int (h \circ T)E(|u|^2)E(|f|^2)d\mu$$

$$\int (h \circ T)E(|u|^2)f\overline{f}d\mu = \int (J \circ T)f\overline{f}d\mu = ((J \circ T)f, f).$$

Hence $(Jf, f) \leq M^2((J \circ T)f, f)$. Since f is an arbitrary element of $L^2(\Sigma)$, we have $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$; and the proof is therefore complete.

Example 2.4. Let X = [0, 1], $d\mu = dx$ and Σ the Lebesgue sets. Let $T: X \to X$ be defined by $T(x) = 4x - 4x^2$ and let u be the map $u(x) = (4 - 8x)(\chi_{[0,1/2]} - \chi_{(1/2,1]})$ on X. Then a simple computation gives $||W_T^*f|| = ||f((1+\sqrt{1-x})/2) + f((1-\sqrt{1-x})/2)||$, $||W_Tf|| = ||\sqrt[4]{64(1-x)}f||$ and $J \circ T(x) = 8|2x-1|$. So for each $M > 2^{-3/4}$, $J \ge M^2(J \circ T)$ and hence by lemma 2.3, W_T is not M-hyponormal operator on $L^2(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 2.5. If W_T^* is *M*-hyponormal, then for $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $((J \circ T)Ef, f) = ((J \circ T)f, f)$ where $J = hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((J \circ T)Ef, f) &= \int (J \circ T)(Ef)\overline{f}d\mu = \int (J \circ T)EfE\overline{f}d\mu \\ &= \int (J \circ T)|Ef|^2d\mu \le \int (J \circ T)E(|f|^2)d\mu \\ &= \int (J \circ T)|f|^2d\mu = ((J \circ T)f, f) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $((J\circ T)Ef,f)\leq ((J\circ T)f,f).$ On the other hand, since W_T^* is M -hyponormal, then

$$KerE = \overline{(R(W_T))}^{\perp} = KerW_T^* \subseteq Ker(W_T).$$

462

Now, since for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $Ef - f \in KerE$, then $Ef - f \in Ker(W_T)$. This shows that

$$\int J(Ef - f)d\mu = \int hE(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1}(Ef - f)d\mu$$
$$= \int E(|u|^2)(Ef - f) \circ Td\mu = \int |u|^2(Ef - f) \circ Td\mu = 0$$

It follows that J(Ef - f) = 0. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that for all $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$, we have

$$((J \circ T)(Ef - f), g) = \int (J \circ T)(Ef - f)\overline{g}d\mu \ge \frac{1}{M^2} \int J(Ef - f)\overline{g}d\mu = 0.$$

We conclude that $((J \circ T)(Ef - f), g) \ge 0$, hence $(J \circ T)Ef \ge (J \circ T)f$.

Lemma 2.5 can be extended to give the following result.

Lemma 2.6. If W_T^* is *M*-hyponormal, then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $n \in N$

$$((J^n \circ T)Ef, f) = ((J^n \circ T)f, f).$$

Lemma 2.7. If $J \leq M^2(h \circ T)$, for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $r, m \in N$, then

$$((J \circ T)^r W_T^m f, W_T^m f) \le M^{(m-1)(2r+m)} (J^{r+m} f, f).$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on m and fixed r. For m = 1 and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$,

$$((J \circ T)^r W_T f, W_T f) = \int (J \circ T)^r u f \circ T. \overline{uf \circ T} d\mu$$
$$= \int (J \circ T)^r |u|^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu = \int J^r \circ T E(|u|^2) |f|^2 \circ T d\mu$$
$$= \int J^r h E(|u|^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu = \int J^r J f \overline{f} d\mu = (J^{r+1}f, f),$$

which shows that the Lemma holds for m = 1. Now assuming that the Lemma

holds for m = 1, 2, ..., k and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} &((J \circ T)^{r} W_{T}^{k+1} f, W_{T}^{k+1} f) = ((J \circ T)^{r} W_{T}^{k} W_{T} f, W_{T}^{k} W_{T} f) \\ &\leq M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} (J^{r+k} W_{T} f, W_{T} f) = M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} \int J^{r+k} |u|^{2} |f|^{2} \circ T d\mu \\ &= M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} \int J^{r+k} E(|u|^{2}) |f|^{2} \circ T d\mu \\ &\leq M^{(k-1)(2r+k)} M^{2(r+k)} \int (J \circ T)^{r+k} E(|u|^{2}) |f|^{2} \circ T d\mu \\ &= M^{k(2r+k+1)} \int J^{r+k} h E(|u|^{2}) \circ T^{-1} |f|^{2} d\mu \\ &= M^{k(2r+k+1)} \int J^{r+k} J f \overline{f} d\mu = M^{k(2r+k+1)} (J^{r+k+1} f, f), \end{split}$$

which shows that the result holds for m = k + 1. Thus the result holds for all $r, m \in N$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 2.8. If W_T^* is *M*-hyponormal and $u \in L^{\infty}(T^{-1}\Sigma)$ then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $r, m \in N$, we have

$$M^{(m-1)(2r+m)}(J^{r}(W_{T}^{m})^{*}f,(W_{T}^{m})^{*}f) \ge ((J \circ T)^{r+m}f,f).$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on m and fixed r. Suppose that m = 1 and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (J^{r}W_{T}^{*}f,W_{T}^{*}f) &= ((J^{r}\circ T)W_{T}W_{T}^{*}f,f) = ((J^{r}\circ T)uh\circ TE(\overline{u}f),f) \\ &= ((J^{r}\circ T)|u|^{2}h\circ TEf,f) = ((J^{r}\circ T)E(|u|^{2})h\circ TEf,f) \\ &= ((J^{r}\circ T)(J\circ T)Ef,f) = ((J^{r+1}\circ T)f,f), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the Lemma holds for m = 1. The rest of the proof can be repeated as in Lemma 2.8 in [7].

Lemma 2.9. If $J \leq M^2(J \circ T)$, then for all $n \in N$ and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$,

$$((W_T^n)^*(W_T^n)f, f) \le M^{n(n-1)}(J^nf, f).$$

Proof. Since $W_T^*W_T f = Jf$, hence the result holds for n = 1. Let us suppose that the result is true for n = r and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. First we show that

$$(J^{k}W_{T}f, W_{T}f) \le M^{2k}(J^{k+1}f, f).$$
(1)

Suppose $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$(J^{k}W_{T}f, W_{T}f) = \int J^{k}W_{T}f\overline{W_{T}f}d\mu = \int J^{k}|u|^{2}|f|^{2} \circ Td\mu$$
$$= \int J^{k}E(|u|^{2})|f|^{2} \circ Td\mu \leq M^{2} \int (J^{k} \circ T)E(|u|^{2})|f|^{2} \circ Td\mu$$
$$= M^{2k} \int J^{k}hE(|u|^{2}) \circ T^{-1}f\overline{f}d\mu = M^{2k} \int J^{k+1}f\overline{f}d\mu = M^{2k}(J^{k+1}f, f).$$

Hence, by induction hypothesis and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &((W_T^{k+1})^*(W_T^{k+1})f,f) = ((W_T^k)^*(W_T^k)W_Tf,W_Tf) \\ &\leq M^{k(k-1)}(J^kW_Tf,W_Tf) \leq M^{k(k-1)}M^{2k}(J^{k+1}f,f) \\ &= M^{k(k+1)}(J^{k+1}f,f). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.10. If $(uC_T)^*$ is *M*-hyponormal and $u \in L^{\infty}(T^{-1}(\Sigma))$ then for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and $n \in N$

$$M^{n(n-1)}((W_T^n)^{(k)}W_T^n)^*f, f) \ge ((J \circ T)^n f, f) .$$

Proof. We shall prove the result by induction on n. Suppose that n = 1 and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$(W^T W_T^* f, f) = \int uh \circ TE(\overline{u}f)\overline{f}d\mu = \int h \circ T|u|^2 E(|f|^2)d\mu$$
$$= \int h \circ TE(|f|^2)E(|u|^2)d\mu = \int (J \circ T)f\overline{f}d\mu = ((J \circ T)f, f).$$

Now, assume that the Lemma holds for n. Then by using Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{split} &((W_T^{n+1})(W_T^{n+1})^*f,f) = (W_T W_T^n (W_T^n)^* W_T^*f,f) \\ &= (W_T^n (W_T^n)^* W_T^*f, W_T^*f) \geq \frac{1}{M^{n(n-1)}} ((J \circ T)^n W_T^*f, W^*f) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^{n(n-1)}} \frac{1}{M^{2n}} ((J)^n W_T^*f, W^*f) = \frac{1}{M^{n(n+1)}} ((J^{n+1} \circ T)f, f), \end{split}$$

which completes the induction step and the Lemma is proved.

If we change the role of h and C_T with J and W_T respectively and using previous lemmas in this paper, we can prove the following theorem similar to the proof used in [7].

Theorem 2.11. (a) If W_T^* is *M*-hyponormal, then for all $n \in N, (W_T^*)^n$

is M^{n^2} -hyponormal.

(b) Put $A = W_{T_1}$ and $B = W_{T_2}$. If A^* and B^* are M-hyponormal such that

 $J_1 \le M^2 (J_2 \circ T_2), \quad (J_1 = h_1 E_1 (|u|^2) \circ T_1^{-1})$

and

$$J_2 \le M^2(J_1 \circ T_1), \quad (J_2 = h_2 E_2(|u|^2) \circ T_2^{-1}).$$

Then $(A^m B^n)^*$ is $M^{(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal for all $m, n \in N$.

(c) Under the hypothesis of (b), $[(A^m B^n)^p]^*$ is $M^{p^2(m+n)^2}$ -hyponormal.

References

- [1] C. Burnap and A. Lambert : Reducibility of composition operators on L^2 , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178**, 87-101 (1993).
- [2] James T. Campbell and J. Jamison : On some classes of weighted composition operators, *Glasgow Math. J.* 32, 87-94 (1990).
- [3] James T. Campbell and W. Hornor : Localising and seminormal composition operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 124, 301-316 (1994).
- [4] P. Dibrell and James T. Campbell : Hyponormal powers of composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102, 914-918 (1988).
- [5] David J. Harrington and R. Whitley : Seminormal composition operators, J. Operator Theory 11, 125-135 (1984).
- [6] T. Hoover, A. Lambert and J. Quinn : The Markov process determined by a weighted composition operator, *Studia Math.* (Poland) LXXII, 225-235 (1982).
- [7] S.K. Khurana and B. Ram : M-Cohyponormality powers of composition operators, it J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 53, 9-16 (1992).
- [8] A. Lambert, Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 118, 111-118 (1991).