

LAMBERT MULTIPLIERS BETWEEN  $L^p$  SPACES

M. R. JABBARZADEH and S. KHALIL SARBAZ, Tabriz

(Received June 26, 2008)

*Abstract.* In this paper Lambert multipliers acting between  $L^p$  spaces are characterized by using some properties of conditional expectation operator. Also, Fredholmness of corresponding bounded operators is investigated.

*Keywords:* conditional expectation, multipliers, multiplication operators, Fredholm operator

*MSC 2010:* 47B20, 47B38

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For any complete  $\sigma$ -finite sub-algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is abbreviated by  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . We view  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  as a Banach sub-space of  $L^p(\Sigma)$ . The support of a measurable function  $f$  is defined by  $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a  $\mu$ -null set.

To examine the weighted composition operators efficiently, Alan Lambert in [9] associated with each transformation  $T$  the so-called conditional expectation operator  $E(\cdot|\mathcal{A}) = E(\cdot)$  which is defined for each non-negative measurable function  $f$  or for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ , and is uniquely determined by the conditions

- (i)  $E(f)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable and
- (ii) if  $A$  is any  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable set for which  $\int_A f \, d\mu$  converges then

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_A E(f) \, d\mu.$$

---

This research is supported by a grant from Tabriz University.

This operator will play a major role in our work, and we list here some of its useful properties:

- If  $g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable then  $E(fg) = E(f)g$ .
- $|E(f)|^p \leq E(|f|^p)$ .
- $\|E(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ .
- If  $f \geq 0$  then  $E(f) \geq 0$ ; if  $f > 0$  then  $E(f) > 0$ .
- $E(|f|^2) = |E(f)|^2$  if and only if  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ .

As an operator on  $L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $E(\cdot)$  is contractive idempotent and  $E(L^p(\Sigma)) = L^p(\mathcal{A})$ . A real-valued  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f$  is said to be conditionable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mu(\{x \in X: E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}) = 0$ . In this case  $E(f) := E(f^+) - E(f^-)$ . If  $f$  is complex-valued, then  $f$  is conditionable if both the real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. In this case,  $E(f) := E(\operatorname{Re} f) + iE(\operatorname{Im} f)$  (see [4]). We denote the linear space of all conditionable  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on  $X$  by  $L^0(\Sigma)$ . For  $f$  and  $g$  in  $L^0(\Sigma)$ , we define  $f \star g = fE(g) + gE(f) - E(f)E(g)$ . Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . A measurable function  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$  for which  $u \star f \in L^q(\Sigma)$  for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  is called a Lambert multiplier. In other words,  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$  is a Lambert multiplier if and only if the corresponding  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  defined as  $T_u f = u \star f$  is bounded. Note that if  $u$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function or  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ , then  $u \in K_p^*$  if and only if the multiplication operator  $M_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  is bounded.

In the next section, Lambert multipliers acting between two different  $L^p(\Sigma)$  spaces are characterized by using some properties of the conditional expectation operator. In Section 3, Fredholmness of the corresponding  $\star$ -multiplication operators will be investigated.

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF LAMBERT MULTIPLIERS

Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Define  $K_{p,q}^*$ , the set of all Lambert multipliers from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$ , as follows:

$$K_{p,q}^* = \{u \in L^0(\Sigma): u \star L^p(\Sigma) \subseteq L^q(\Sigma)\}.$$

$K_{p,q}^*$  is a vector subspace of  $L^0(\Sigma)$ . Put  $K_{p,p}^* = K_p^*$ . In the following theorem we characterize the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in the case  $1 \leq p = q < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_p^*$  if and only if  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and take  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Since  $|E(u)|^p \leq E(|u|^p)$  a.e., we have

$$\|E(u)f\|_p^p = \int_X |E(u)f|^p d\mu \leq \int_X E(|u|^p)|f|^p d\mu \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \|f\|_p^p.$$

Hence  $\|E(u)f\|_p \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p$ . A similar argument, using the fact that  $E(fE(g)) = E(f)E(g)$ , reveals that we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)E(f)\|_p^p &= \|uE(f)\|_p^p = \int_X |uE(f)|^p d\mu \leq \int_X |u|^p E(|f|^p) d\mu \\ &= \int_X E(|u|^p)E(|f|^p) d\mu \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \int_X |f|^p = \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_p = \|uE(f)\|_p \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p$ . Accordingly, we get that

$$\|u \star f\|_p \leq \|E(u)f\|_p + \|uE(f)\|_p + \|E(u)E(f)\|_p \leq 3\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p.$$

It follows that  $u \star f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and hence  $u \in K_p^*$ .

Now, suppose only that  $u \in K_p^*$ . An easy consequence of the closed graph theorem and the result guaranteeing a pointwise convergent subsequence for each  $L^p(\Sigma)$  convergent sequence ensures that the operator  $T_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)$  given by  $T_u f = u \star f$  is bounded. Define a linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$\varphi(f) = \int_X E(|u|^p)f d\mu, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

We shall show that  $\varphi$  is bounded. To this end, since for each  $f \in L^1(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $E(|f|^{1/p}) = |f|^{1/p} \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(f)| &\leq \int_X E(|u|^p)|f| d\mu = \int_X (E(|u||f|^{1/p})^p) d\mu \\ &= \int_X (|u||f|^{1/p})^p d\mu = \|T_u f\|_p^p \\ &\leq \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1^p = \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|T_u\|^p$ . By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique function  $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$\varphi(f) = \int_X gf d\mu, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore, we have  $g = E(|u|^p)$  a.e. on  $X$  and hence  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . □

Let  $\mathfrak{S} := \{T_u : u \in K_p^*\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}'$  be the commutant of  $\mathfrak{S}$  in the algebra of all bounded linear operators. Still proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.6 given in [2] and Theorem 4.1 given in [6], one establishes that  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S}''$  (see also [3]). Thus  $\mathfrak{S}$  is maximal abelian and hence it is norm closed.

For  $u \in K_p^*$  define  $\|u\|_{K_p^*} = \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p}$ . Then precisely the same calculation as that shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1 yields that

$$\|u \star f\|_p \leq 3(\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p) < \infty, \quad f \in L^p(\Sigma),$$

and

$$\int_X E(|u|^p)|f| \, d\mu \leq \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \|T_u\| \leq 3\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty = \sup_{\|f\|_1 \leq 1} \int_X E(|u|^p)|f| \, d\mu \leq \|T_u\|^p.$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{K_p^*} \leq \|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_{K_p^*}.$$

Consequently,  $\|\cdot\|_{K_p^*}$  and the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent norms on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Also, since  $\mathfrak{S}$  is norm closed, it follows from (2.3) that  $K_p^*$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{K_p^*}$ .

Let  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ . Our second task is the description of the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in terms of the conditional expectation induced by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$  if and only if  $(E(|u|^q))^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $1/p + 1/r = 1/q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(E(|u|^q))^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\|E(u)f\|_q^q \leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \|E(|u|^q)\|^{1/q} \|f\|_q^q \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q.$$

By similar computation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|uE(f)\|_q^q &\leq \int_X |u|^q E(|f|^q) \, d\mu = \int_X E(|u|^q)E(|f|^q) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|E(|f|^q)\|_{p/q} \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)E(f)\|_q^q &\leq \int_X E(|u|^q)E(|f|^q) d\mu \\ &\leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|(E(|f|^q))^{1/q}\|_p^q \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $\|T_u f\| \leq 3\|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Consequently,  $T_u$  is bounded and hence  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ .

Now, suppose only that  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ . Define  $\varphi: L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\varphi(f) = \int_X E(|u|^q)f d\mu$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is a linear functional. We shall show that  $\varphi$  is bounded. For each  $f \in L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A})$  we get that

$$|\varphi(f)| \leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f| d\mu = \int_X E((|u||f|^{1/q})^q) d\mu = \|T_u |f|^{1/q}\|_q^q \leq \|T_u\|_q^q \|f\|_{p/q}^q.$$

It follows that  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|T_u\|_q^q$  and hence  $\varphi$  is bounded. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique  $g \in L^{r/q}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \int_X g f d\mu$  for each  $f \in L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence  $g = E(|u|^q)$  a.e. on  $X$ . That is,  $(E|u|^q)^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$  and hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

Recall that an  $\mathcal{A}$ -atom of the measure  $\mu$  is an element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that for each  $F \in \Sigma$ , if  $F \subseteq A$  then either  $\mu(F) = 0$  or  $\mu(F) = \mu(A)$ . A measure with no atoms is called non-atomic. It is a well-known fact that every  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  can be partitioned uniquely as

$$X = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right) \cup B,$$

where  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{A}$ -atoms and  $B$ , being disjoint from each  $A_n$ , is non-atomic (see [13]).

In the following theorem we characterize the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in the case  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$  if and only if*

- (i)  $E(|u|^q) = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ ;
- (ii)  $M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E(|u|^q)(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}} < \infty$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

Proof. Suppose that both (i) and (ii) hold. Then, for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  with  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)f\|_q^q &\leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \left( \int_B + \int_{\bigcup A_n} \right) (E(|u|^q)|f|^q) \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E(|u|^q)(A_n) |f(A_n)|^q \mu(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(E(|u|^q)(A_n))}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}} (|f(A_n)|^p \mu(A_n))^{q/p} \leq M \|f\|_p^q \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $E(|u|^q)$  is a constant  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function on each  $A_n$  (see [5, Theorem I.7.3]). Consequently,  $\|E(u)f\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$ . Since the conditional expectation operator  $E$  is a contraction, similar computation shows that  $\|uE(f)\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$ . It follows that  $\|T_u\| \leq 3M^{1/q} < \infty$  and hence  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ . First we show that  $E(|u|^q) = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ . Assuming the contrary, we can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \geq \delta\}) > 0$ . Put  $F = \{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \geq \delta\}$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, we can suppose that  $\mu(F) < \infty$ . Also, since  $F$  is non-atomic so for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $F_n \subseteq F$  such that  $\mu(F_n) = \mu(F)/2^n$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/((\mu(F_n))^{1/p}) \chi_{F_n}$ . It is clear that  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Since  $q/p > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \|T_u\|^q \geq \|T_u f_n\|_q^q = \|u \star f_n\|_q^q = \|u f_n\|_q^q \\ &= \int_X |u f_n|^q \, d\mu = 1/(\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) \int_{F_n} |u|^q \, d\mu = 1/(\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) \int_{F_n} E(|u|^q) \, d\mu \\ &\geq \delta \mu(F_n) / (\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) = \delta \left( \frac{\mu(F)}{2^n} \right)^{1-q/p} = \delta \left( \frac{2^n}{\mu(F)} \right)^{q/p-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that  $\mu(\{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \neq 0\}) = 0$ . Next, we examine the supremum in (ii). For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/(\mu(A_n)^{1/p}) \chi_{A_n}$ . Then it is clear that  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \|T_u\|^q \geq \|T_u f_n\|_q^q = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{q/p}} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^q) \, d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{q/p}} E(|u|^q)(A_n) \mu(A_n) = \frac{E(|u|^q)(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get that  $M < \infty$ . □

**Theorem 2.4.**

- (i)  $u \in K_\infty^*$  if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ .
- (ii) If  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $u \in K_{\infty,q}^*$  if and only if  $|u| \in L^q(\Sigma)$ .
- (iii) If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$  if and only if  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$  and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|u|^p(A_n)/\mu(A_n)) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that for each  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ ,  $u \star f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Since the conditional expectation operator  $E$  is a contraction, we obtain

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|u\chi_X\|_\infty = \|T_u\chi_X\|_\infty \leq \|T_u\| < \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Then for each  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  we have  $\|T_u f\|_\infty \leq 3\|u\|_\infty\|f\|_\infty$ . Thus  $\|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_\infty$  and hence  $u \in K_\infty^*$ . Consequently, we get (i).

(ii) Let  $|u| \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Then we have

$$\|uE(f)\|_q^q = \int_X |uE(f)|^q d\mu \leq \|f\|_\infty^q \int_X |u|^q d\mu = \|f\|_\infty^q \|u\|_q^q.$$

Hence,  $\|uE(f)\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty \|u\|_q$ . Similarly, we get  $\|E(u)f\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty \|u\|_q$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty \|u\|_q$ . Thus  $\|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_q$  and hence  $u \in K_{\infty,q}^*$ . Conversely, suppose that  $T_u(L^\infty(\Sigma)) \subseteq L^q(\Sigma)$ . Since  $T_u\chi_X \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , it follows that

$$\infty > \|T_u\chi_X\|_q^q = \int_X |T_u\chi_X|^q d\mu = \int_X |u|^q d\mu = \|u\|_q^q.$$

Thus we get (ii).

(iii) Suppose that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$  and  $M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|u|^p(A_n)/\mu(A_n)) < \infty$ . Then for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  with  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uE(f)\|_\infty^p &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |uE(f)|^p \leq b\} \\ &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p |E(f)|^p \leq b\} \\ &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p(A_n) |E(f)(A_n)|^p \leq b, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p(A_n) (E|f|^p)(A_n) \leq b, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|u|^p(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)} = M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\|uE(f)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$ . Similarly, since

$$|u(A_n)|^p = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} |u|^p d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^p) d\mu = (E(|u|^p))(A_n),$$

we get that  $\|fE(u)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$ . Therefore  $\|T_u\| \leq 3M^{1/p}$  and hence  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$ . First we show that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ . Assuming the contrary, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in X: |u(x)| \geq \delta\}) > 0$ . Put  $F = \{x \in X: |u(x)| \geq \delta\}$ . Since  $F$  is non atomic, choose a number  $a$  such that  $0 < a < \mu(F)$  and a sequence  $F_1, F_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  of disjoint subsets of  $F$  such that  $\mu(F_k) = a/2^{pk}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define a function  $f_0$  on  $X$  by

$$f_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k/2p} \chi_{F_k}.$$

It is easy to show that  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , but that it is not in  $L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . It follows that

$$\infty = \delta^{1/p} \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} = \|\delta^{1/p} f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T_u f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T_u\| \|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{A})} < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mu(\{x \in X: |u(x)| \neq 0\}) = 0$ , in other words,  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ .

Now, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/(\mu(A_n)^{1/p}) \chi_{A_n}$ . It is clear that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Then we obtain

$$\infty > \|T_u\|^p \geq \|T_u f_n\|_\infty^p = \|u f_n\|_\infty^p \geq \frac{|u|^p(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)}.$$

Therefore  $M < \infty$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3. FREDHOLMNESS OF $\star$ -MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , and  $u \in K_p^*$ . Then, for each  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $f \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

- (i)  $T_u^n g = (E(u))^{n-1} (E(u)g + nuE(g) - nE(u)E(g))$ ,
- (ii)  $T_u^{*n} f = (\overline{E(u)})^{n-1} \{nE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - nE(f))\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) is trivial.

(ii) We will prove the result by induction. Since  $E(g)f = fE(g)$  for each  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and  $f \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, T_u^* f) &= (T_u g, f) = \int (uE(g) + gE(u) - E(g)E(u)) \bar{f} \, d\mu \\ &= \int (gE(u\bar{f}) + E(u)g\bar{f} - gE(u)E(\bar{f})) \, d\mu \\ &= \int g \left( \overline{E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}f - \overline{E(u)}E(f)} \right) \, d\mu \\ &= (g, E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}f - \overline{E(u)}E(f)), \end{aligned}$$



which shows that the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Assume now that it holds for  $n = k$  and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
T_u^{*(k+1)}f &= T_u^* \left( \overline{E(u)}^{k-1} \{kE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - kE(f))\} \right) \\
&= \overline{E(u)}^k \{ (k+1)E(\bar{u}f) - kE(f)\overline{E(u)} \} \\
&\quad + \overline{E(u)}^k \{ kE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - kE(f)) \} \\
&\quad - \overline{E(u)}^k \{ kE(\bar{u}f) - (k-1)\overline{E(u)}E(f) \} \\
&= \overline{E(u)}^k \{ (k+1)E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - (k+1)E(f)) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proposition is proved.  $\square$

In what follows we use the symbols  $\mathcal{N}(T_u)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  to denote the kernel and the range of  $T_u$ , respectively. We recall that  $T_u$  is said to be a Fredholm operator if  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  is closed,  $\dim \mathcal{N}(T_u) < \infty$ , and  $\text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) < \infty$ .

The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u$  on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  to be a Fredholm operator, thereby generalizing the result in [11] for multiplication operators.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $u \in K_p^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-atomic measure space. Then the operator  $T_u$  is Fredholm on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) if and only if  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  almost everywhere on  $X$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T_u$  is a Fredholm operator. We first claim that  $T_u$  is onto. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists  $f_0 \in L^p(\Sigma) \setminus \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  is closed, there exists  $g_0 \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , the dual space of  $L^p(\Sigma)$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad (g_0, f_0) = \int \bar{f}_0 g_0 \, d\mu = 1$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad (g_0, T_u f) = \int g_0 \overline{T_u f} \, d\mu = 0, \quad f \in L^p(\Sigma).$$

Now (3.1) yields that the set  $B_r = \{x \in X : |E(\bar{f}_0 g_0)(x)| \geq r\}$  has positive measure for some  $r > 0$ . As  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-atomic, we can choose a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $B_r$  with  $0 < \mu(A_n) < \infty$  and  $A_m \cap A_n = \emptyset$  for  $m \neq n$ . Put  $g_n = \chi_{A_n} g_0$ . Clearly,  $g_n \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and is nonzero, because

$$\int_X |\bar{f}_0 g_n| \, d\mu \geq \int_{A_n} |\bar{f}_0 g_n| \, d\mu = \int_{A_n} E(|\bar{f}_0 g_0|) \geq \int_{A_n} |E(\bar{f}_0 g_0)| \, d\mu \geq r\mu(A_n) > 0$$

for each  $n$ . Also, for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $\chi_{A_n} f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and so (3.2) implies that

$$(T_u^* g_n, f) = (g_n, T_u f) = \int_{A_n} g_0 \overline{T_u f} \, d\mu = \int_X g_0 \overline{T_u(\chi_{A_n} f)} \, d\mu = (g_0, T_u(\chi_{A_n} f)),$$

which implies that  $T_u^* g_n = 0$  and so  $g_n \in \mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . Since all the sets in  $\{A_n\}$  are disjoint, the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  forms a linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\dim \mathcal{N}(T_u^*) = \text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) < \infty$ . Hence  $T_u$  is onto. Let  $Z(E(u)) := \sigma(E(u))^c = \{x \in X : E(u)(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ . Since  $\mu(Z(E(u))) > 0$ , there is an  $F \subseteq Z(E(u))$  with  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . If  $\chi_F \in \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ , then there exists  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  such that  $T_u f = \chi_F$ . Then

$$\mu(F) = \int_X \chi_F \, d\mu = \int_F T_u f \, d\mu = \int_F E(T_u f) \, d\mu = \int_F E(u)E(f) \, d\mu = 0,$$

and this is a contradiction. So  $\chi_F \in L^p(\Sigma) \setminus \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $T_u$  is onto. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$H_n = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{(n+1)^2} < |E(u)|^p(x) \leq \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n^2} \right\}$$

and  $H = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(H_n) > 0\}$ . Then the  $H_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint,  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  and  $\mu(H_n) < \infty$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|E(u)|}{\mu(H_n)^{1/p}}, & x \in H_n, n \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_X |f|^p \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_n} \frac{|E(u)|^p}{\mu(H_n)} \, d\mu \leq \sum_{n \in H} \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Therefore  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and so there exist  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$  such that  $T_u g = f$ . Hence  $E(u)E(g) = E(T_u g) = f$ . Since  $E(g) = f/E(u)$  off  $Z(E(u))$  and  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |g|^p \, d\mu &= \int_X E(|g|^p) \, d\mu \geq \int_X |E(g)|^p \, d\mu \\ &= \int_X \frac{|f|^p}{|E(u)|^p} \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_n} \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $H$  must be a finite set. So there is an  $n_0$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $\mu(H_n) = 0$ . Together with  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X: |E(u)|^p(x) \leq \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n_0^2}\right\}\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=n_0}^{\infty} H_n \cup Z(E(u))\right) = 0,$$

that is  $|E(u)| \geq ((\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty)/n_0^2)^{1/p} := \delta$  almost everywhere on  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  a.e. on  $X$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . We have  $T_u^*f = E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - E(f)) = 0$  and so  $E(\bar{u}f) = E(T_u^*f) = 0$ . Thus

$$\int_X \bar{u}f \, d\mu = \int_X E(\bar{u}f) \, d\mu = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{N}(T_u^*) \subseteq \left\{f \in L^p(\Sigma): \int_X \bar{u}f \, d\mu = 0\right\} \subseteq L^p(Z(u), \Sigma_{Z(u)}, \mu|_{Z(u)}).$$

Also, since  $E(|u|) \geq |E(u)| \geq \delta$  and  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, we have  $|u| \geq \delta$  and hence  $\mu(Z(u)) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) = \dim \mathcal{N}(T_u^*) = 0.$$

Now, we shall show that  $T_u$  has closed range. Let  $\{T_u f_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  and let  $\|T_u f_n - g\|_p \rightarrow 0$  for some  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Hence we have  $E(u)E(f_n) = E(T_u f_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} E(g)$ . Since by hypothesis  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$ , it follows that  $E(g)/E(u) \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $E(f_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} E(g)/E(u)$ . Consequently,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} \frac{1}{E(u)} \left\{g + E(g) - \frac{uE(g)}{E(u)}\right\} := f$$

and hence  $T_u f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} T_u f$ . Therefore  $g = T_u f$ , which implies that  $T_u$  has closed range. Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Now, we consider the particular case when  $p = 2$ . An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is normal if  $TT^* = T^*T$ , and  $T$  is self-adjoint if  $T = T^*$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $u \in K_2^*$ . Then the following claims are true:*

- (i)  $T_u$  is a normal operator if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .
- (ii)  $T_u$  is a self-adjoint operator if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  is real valued.

*Proof.* (i) Assume  $T_u$  is normal. Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have  $E(T_u T_u^* f) = E(u)E(\bar{u}f)$  and  $E(T_u^* T_u f) = E(f)E(|u|^2) + E(u)E(\bar{u}f) - E(\bar{u})E(u)E(f)$ . Therefore we obtain that  $E(|u|^2) = |E(u)|^2$ . Consequently  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, suppose that  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and take  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ . Then  $T_u^* T_u f = T_u T_u^* f = |u|^2 f$ , and hence  $T_u$  is normal.

(ii) follows from (i). □

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = [-1, 1]$ ,  $d\mu = dx$ , let  $\Sigma$  be the Lebesgue sets, and  $\mathcal{A}$  the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra generated by the sets symmetric about the origin. Put  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^a E(f)(x) dx &= \int_{-a}^a f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \left\{ \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(Ef)(x) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ . Now, if we take  $u(x) = \cos x + \sin x$ , then the  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u: L^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)$  has the form

$$(T_u f)(x) = \left( \cos x + \frac{1}{2} \sin x \right) f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sin x f(-x).$$

Direct computation shows that  $(T_u^* f)(x) = (\cos x + \sin x/2)f(x) - \sin x/2 f(-x)$  and  $|E(u)| \geq \cos 1$ . Therefore,  $T_u$  is a Fredholm but not a normal operator. □

### References

- [1] *J. Campbell and J. Jamison*: On some classes of weighted composition operators. *Glasg. Math. J.* 32 (1990), 87–94. zbl
- [2] *J. B. Conway*: A course in Functional Analysis, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. zbl
- [3] *B. de Pagter and W. J. Ricker*: Bicommutants of algebras of multiplication operators. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 72 (1996), 458–480. zbl
- [4] *J. Herron*: Weighted conditional expectation operators on  $L^p$  spaces. UNC Charlotte Doctoral Dissertation. zbl
- [5] *S. Kantorovitz*: Introduction to Modern Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003. zbl
- [6] *A. Lambert and T. G. Lucas*: Nagata’s principle of idealization in relation to module homomorphisms and conditional expectations. *Kyungpook Math. J.* 40 (2000), 327–337. zbl

- [7] *A. Lambert*:  $L^p$  multipliers and nested sigma-algebras. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 104 (1998), 147–153. zbl
- [8] *A. Lambert and B. M. Weinstock*: A class of operator algebras induced by probabilistic conditional expectations. *Mich. Math. J.* 40 (1993), 359–376. zbl
- [9] *A. Lambert*: Hyponormal composition operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 18 (1986), 395–400. zbl
- [10] *M. M. Rao*: *Conditional Measures and Applications*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993. zbl
- [11] *H. Takagi*: Fredholm weighted composition operators. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* 16 (1993), 267–276. zbl
- [12] *H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi*: Multiplication and composition operators between two  $L^p$ -spaces. *Contemp. Math.* 232 (1999), 321–338. zbl
- [13] *A. C. Zaanen*: *Integration*, 2nd ed. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967. zbl

*Authors' addresses*: M. R. Jabbarzadeh, S. Khalil Sarbaz, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, P. O. Box 5166615648, Tabriz, Iran, e-mail: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir, skhsarbaz@tabrizu.ac.ir.