

Mathematical
Inequalities
& **A**pplications

*With Compliments
of the Author*

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 24, Number 2, April 2021

M. R. Jabbarzadeh and M. Gheyarani*

*Weighted composition operators and their products
on $L^2()$*

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants LaTeX, AMSTeX or AMSLaTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors will receive PDF file of the printed article free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from 'MIA' prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

The publication of this journal is supported by Ministry of science, education and sports, Croatia

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Menceticeva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS AND THEIR PRODUCTS ON $L^2(\Sigma)$

M. R. JABBARZADEH* AND M. GHEYTARAN

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, we study the ascent and descent of weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$. In addition, we discuss measure theoretic characterizations of some classical properties for products of these type operators.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete sigma finite measure space and let \mathcal{A} be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ . If $B \subset X$, let $\mathcal{A}_B = \mathcal{A} \cap B$ denote the relative completion of the sigma-algebra generated by $\{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and denote the complement of B in X by B^c . All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a μ -null set. We denote the linear spaces of all complex-valued Σ -measurable functions on X by $L^0(\Sigma)$. The support of $f \in L^0(\Sigma)$ is defined by $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable transformation on X , that is, $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Denote by $\mu_{\sigma(u)} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ the positive measure on Σ given by $\mu_{\sigma(u)} \circ \varphi^{-1}(A) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A) \cap \sigma(u))$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Put $\mu_X = \mu$. We say that φ is nonsingular, if $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . In this case we write $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$, as usual. Let h be the Radon-Nikodym derivative, $h = \frac{d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}}{d\mu}$.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. By a weighted composition operator in $L^p(\Sigma) = L^p(X, \Sigma, \mu) = L^p(\mu)$ we mean a mapping $W = uC_\varphi : L^p(\Sigma) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(W) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)$ formally defined by

$$Wf(x) = \begin{cases} u(x)f(\varphi(x)) & x \in \sigma(u) \\ 0 & x \notin \sigma(u), \end{cases}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(W) = \{f \in L^p(\Sigma) : u \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \in L^p(\Sigma)\}$. In general, such operator may not be well-defined. We use the assumption $\mu_{\sigma(u)} \circ \varphi^{-1} = (\mu \circ \varphi^{-1})|_{\sigma(u)} \ll \mu$ to see that W is well-defined on $\mathcal{D}(W)$, for more details, see [1]. Now, set $u = 1$. Then the composition operator C_φ defined by $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$ on $L^p(\Sigma)$ is well-defined if and only if the transformation φ is nonsingular. It is known that $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Sigma))$, the

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 47B20; Secondary 47B38.

Keywords and phrases: Kato operator, conditional expectation, composition operator, multiplication operator, ascent, descent, polar decomposition, closed range.

* Corresponding author.

algebra of all bounded linear operators on $L^p(\Sigma)$, if and only if $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. In this case $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = L^p(\Sigma)$, $\|C_\varphi\|^p = \|h\|_\infty$ and $W = M_u C_\varphi$, where M_u is a multiplication operator defined by $M_u(f) = uf$ on $\mathcal{D}(M_u) = \{f \in L^p(\Sigma) : u \cdot f \in L^p(\Sigma)\}$. It is known by the closed graph theorem that $\mathcal{D}(M_u) = L^p(\Sigma)$ if and only if $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, or equivalently, $M_u \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Sigma))$. In this case, $\|M_u\| = \|u\|_\infty$ (see [22]).

Assume f is a non-negative Σ -measurable function on X . Since \mathcal{A} is sub-sigma finite, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a unique \mathcal{A} -measurable function $E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ such that $\int_A f d\mu = \int_A E^{\mathcal{A}}(f) d\mu$, where A is any \mathcal{A} -measurable set for which $\int_A f d\mu$ exists. Note that $E(f)$ depends both on μ and \mathcal{A} . A real-valued measurable function $f = f^+ - f^-$ is said to be conditionable if $\mu(\{x \in X : E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}) = 0$. If f is complex-valued, then $f \in \mathcal{D}(E) = \{f \in L^0(\Sigma) : f \text{ is conditionable}\}$ if the real and imaginary parts of f are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. One can show that every $L^p(\Sigma)$ function is conditionable. In the setting of L^p -spaces, the conditional expectation operator $E^{\mathcal{A}}$ plays an important role in the study of weighted composition operators. We use the notation $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ for $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and henceforth we write μ in place $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}}$. The mapping $E^{\mathcal{A}} : L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{A})$ defined by $f \mapsto E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$, is called the conditional expectation operator with respect to \mathcal{A} . In the case of $p = 2$, it is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\Sigma)$ onto $L^2(\mathcal{A})$. For further discussion of the conditional expectation operator see [1, 8, 15, 19].

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Sigma_n := \varphi^{-n}(\Sigma)$ be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ and let $\mu \circ \varphi^{-n} \ll \mu$. Set $u_{(n)} = u \cdot (u \circ \varphi) \cdots (u \circ \varphi^{n-1})$, $(h)_n = d\mu \circ \varphi^{-n} / d\mu$, $E^n = E^{\Sigma_n}$ and $(J)_n = (h)_n E^n(|u_{(n)}|^p) \circ \varphi^{-n}$. We use the symbols h , E and $J = hE(|u|^p) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ instead of $(h)_1$, E^1 and $(J)_1$, respectively. Note that if Σ_n is sigma finite so is Σ_k for any $k < n$. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(E^n)$. Since $E^n(f)$ is a Σ_n -measurable function, there is a $g \in L^0(\Sigma)$ such that $E^n(f) = g \circ \varphi^n$. In general g is not unique. This deficiency can be solved by assuming $\sigma(g) \subseteq \sigma((h)_n)$, because for each $g_1, g_2 \in L^0(\Sigma)$, $g_1 \circ \varphi^n = g_2 \circ \varphi^n$ if and only if $g_1 = g_2 = g$ on $\sigma((h)_n)$. In this case g is a well-defined and unique. As a notation, we then write $g = E^n(f) \circ \varphi^{-n}$. With this setting by the change of variables formula, we obtain $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X (h)_n E^n(f) \circ \varphi^{-n} d\mu$, in the sense that if one of the integrals exists then so does the other and they have the same value (see [2]). For $1 \leq p < \infty$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= \left(\int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \\ \|f\|_{p,hd\mu} &= \left(\int_X |f|^p h d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \\ \|f\|_{p,Jd\mu} &= \left(\int_X |f|^p J d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi(f)\|_p &= \|M_{\varphi\bar{h}}f\|_p = \|f\|_{p,hd\mu}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma); \\ \|W(f)\|_p &= \|M_{\varphi\bar{J}}f\|_p = \|f\|_{p,Jd\mu}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(W) \subseteq L^p(\Sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Hence $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = L^p(\Sigma) \cap L^p(hd\mu)$ and $\mathcal{D}(W) = L^p(\Sigma) \cap L^p(Jd\mu)$. Campbell and Hornor in [2] proved that W is a densely defined and closed operator if and only if J is finite valued, that is, $\mu(\{x \in X : J(x) = \infty\}) = 0$. Also, $\mathcal{R}(W) = \{u \cdot (f \circ \varphi) : f \in L^p(Jd\mu)\}$. If $J \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, then $L^p(\Sigma) \subseteq L^p(Jd\mu)$, and so $\mathcal{D}(W) = L^p(\Sigma)$. Moreover, it follows from (1.1) that $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Sigma))$ if and only if $J \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ (see also [9]). In particular, in case $u = 1$, $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = L^p(\Sigma)$ if and only if $h < \infty$; that is finite valued, and $\mathcal{R}(E) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(C_\varphi)} = L^p(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \{f \circ \varphi : f \in L^p(hd\mu)\}$. If $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, then $L^p(\Sigma) \subseteq L^p(hd\mu)$, and so $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = L^p(\Sigma)$. Lambert et al. in [9] shows that the adjoint W^* of $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ is given by $W^*(f) = hE(\bar{u}f) \circ \varphi^{-1}$, for each $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. In this case, $W^*W = M_J$ and $WW^* = M_{u \cdot (h \circ \varphi)} EM_{\bar{u}}$.

Products of operators appear often in the service of the study of other operators. Weighted composition operators and their products have been used to provide examples and illustrations of many operator theoretic properties. In several cases major conjectures in operator theory have been reduced to the weighted composition operators. The purpose of this note is to find some characterizations of properties of weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$ and present a relationship between $W = uC_\varphi$ and their products. A good reference for information on the weighted composition operators in L^2 -spaces is the monograph [1]. In Section 2, we collect some sufficient facts on products of weighted composition operators. In section 3, we investigate semi-Kato type weighted composition operators. Finally, in section 4, we characterize the weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$ whose ascent and descent is finite.

2. On some classic properties of $W = uC_\varphi$ on $L^2(\Sigma)$

For $i = 1, 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Sigma_n^i := \varphi_i^{-n}(\Sigma)$ be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ and let $\mu \circ \varphi_i^{-n} \ll \mu$. Set $u_{i(n)} = u_i \cdot (u_i \circ \varphi_i) \cdots (u_i \circ \varphi_i^{n-1})$, $(h_i)_n = d\mu \circ \varphi_i^{-n} / d\mu$, $E_i^n = E_{\Sigma_n^i}$ and $(J_i)_n = (h_i)_n E_i^n (|u_{i(n)}|^2) \circ \varphi_i^{-n}$. We use the symbols h_i , E_i and $J_i = h_i E_i (|u_i|^2) \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ instead of $(h_i)_1$, E_i^1 and $(J_i)_1$, respectively. Put $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$, $u_3 = u_2 \cdot (u_1 \circ \varphi_2)$. Then $\mu \circ \varphi_3^{-n} \ll \mu$.

REMARK 2.1. For a nonsingular measurable transformation φ_i ($i = 1, 2$), let $h_i < \infty$ and $\varphi_3^{-1}(\Sigma)$ be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ . Then by [1, Lemma 26] we have

$$h_3 = h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \text{ and } \sigma(h_3 \circ \varphi_3) = X. \tag{2.1}$$

Also, if $C_{\varphi_3}^*$ is densely defined then so is $C_{\varphi_3} C_{\varphi_3}^*$ (see [20, Theorem 1.8 and 7.2]). In this case $C_{\varphi_3} C_{\varphi_3}^* = M_{h_3 \circ \varphi_3} E_3$ (see [1, Theorem 18]). Moreover, if $h_i \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ then by [1, Proposition 17], $C_{\varphi_3}^*(f) = h_1 E_1(h_2 E_2(f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$.

LEMMA 2.2. For a nonsingular measurable transformation φ_i ($i = 1, 2$), let $h_i \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. Then

$$E_3(f) = \frac{1}{E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_2} E_1(h_2 E_2(f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_2, \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then by Remark 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(f) &= \frac{1}{h_3 \circ \varphi_3} C_{\varphi_3} C_{\varphi_3}^*(f) \\ &= \frac{h_1 \circ \varphi_3}{h_3 \circ \varphi_3} E_1(h_2 E_2(f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_2} E_1(h_2 E_2(f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For nonsingular measurable transformation φ_1 and φ_2 , let $h_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_2} C_{\varphi_1}) &= \{f \in L^2(\Sigma) : f \in \mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_1}), f \circ \varphi_3 \in L^2(\Sigma)\} \\ &= L^2((1 + h_1)d\mu) \cap L^2(h_3d\mu) \\ &= L^2((1 + h_1 + h_3)d\mu) \\ &= L^2((1 + h_1 + h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1})d\mu) \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_3}) = L^2((1 + h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1})d\mu)$. Thus, $\mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_2} C_{\varphi_1}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_3})$. If $E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \geq k$ on X for some $k > 0$, then for each $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_{\varphi_3})$,

$$\int_X |f \circ \varphi_1|^2 d\mu = \int_X h_1 |f|^2 d\mu \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_X h_1 E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu < \infty.$$

It follows that $C_{\varphi_3} = C_{\varphi_2} C_{\varphi_1}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The following assertions hold.*

(a) *For nonsingular measurable transformation φ_1 and φ_2 , if $\{h_1, h_2\} \subseteq L^\infty(\Sigma)$, then $J_3 = h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$.*

(b) *Let $\varphi_3^{-1}(\Sigma)$ be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ . Then W_3 is injective if and only if $\sigma(h_1) = \sigma(E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2)) = X$.*

Proof. By assumption, $h_3 \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$. Hence E_3 is well-defined. Now, (a) is immediate from by (2.1) and Lemma 2.2.

For the proof of the second statement, we know that W_i is injective if and only if $\sigma(J_i) = X$. Now, let $A = \{x \in X : E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) = 0\}$. So $A = \varphi_1^{-1}(B)$, for some $B \in \Sigma$. If $\mu(A) > 0$, then $\mu(B) > 0$ because $\mu \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \ll \mu$. Hence

$$\int_B h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} d\mu = \int_A E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) d\mu = 0,$$

and so $h_1 = 0$ or $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} = 0$ on B . Therefore, $h_1 > 0$ and $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} > 0$ implies that $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) > 0$. Now, let $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) > 0$. Since $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2)$ is a $\varphi_1^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable, then there exists a unique $g \in L^0(\Sigma)$, with $\sigma(g) \subseteq \sigma(h_1)$, such that $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) = g \circ \varphi_1$. It follows that $0 < \int_X g \circ \varphi_1 d\mu = \int_X h_1 g d\mu$, and so $E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} = g > 0$ on $\sigma(h_1)$. We conclude that $\sigma(J_3) = X$ if and only if $\sigma(h_1) = \sigma(E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2)) = X$. \square

LEMMA 2.4. Let $W_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$, $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$ and $u_3 = u_2 \cdot (u_1 \circ \varphi_2)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- (a) $J_3 \circ \varphi_3 = (h_1 \circ \varphi_3)E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_2$.
- (b) $W_3^*(f) = h_1 E_1(\bar{u}_1 h_2 E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$.
- (c) $W_3^* W_3(f) = (h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1})f$.
- (d) $W_3 W_3^*(f) = u_3 (h_1 \circ \varphi_3)E_1(\bar{u}_1 h_2 E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_2$.
- (e) $W_3^* W_3 W_3(f) = (u_3 h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1})f \circ \varphi_3$.
- (f) $W_3 W_3^* W_3(f) = (u_3 (h_1 \circ \varphi_3)E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_2)f \circ \varphi_3$.
- (g) $W_3 W_3^*(f) = u_3 (h_3 \circ \varphi_3)E_3(\bar{u}_3 f)$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from (2.1) and Lemma 2.2. To prove (b), let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_3^*(f) &= W_1^*(W_2^*(f)) = W_1^*(h_2 E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \\ &= h_1 E_1(\bar{u}_1 h_2 E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof is left to the reader. \square

In [6], Douglas proved that when $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $AA^* \leq \lambda BB^*$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$; if and only if $A = BC$ for some $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let for $i = 1, 2$, $W_i = u_i C_{\varphi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then $J_3 \leq \lambda_1 J_1$ a.e. $[\mu]$ and $J_3 \circ \varphi_3 \leq \lambda_2 (J_2 \circ \varphi_2)$ a.e. $[\mu|_{\varphi_3^{-1}(\Sigma)}]$ on $\sigma(u_3)$ for some $\lambda_i \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $W_3 = W_2 W_1$, by Douglas' theorem, there exists $\lambda_i \geq 0$ such that $W_3^* W_3 \leq \lambda_1 W_1^* W_1$ and $W_3 W_3^* \leq \lambda_2 W_2 W_2^*$. Then for each $f, g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ we have $\langle J_3 f, f \rangle \leq \langle \lambda_1 J_1 f, f \rangle$ and $\langle u_3 (h_3 \circ \varphi_3)E_3(\bar{u}_3 g), g \rangle \leq \langle \lambda_2 u_2 (h_2 \circ \varphi_2)E_2(\bar{u}_2 g), g \rangle$. For $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$, take $f = \chi_A$ and $g = \chi_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} u_3$. Since $E_3(\bar{u}_3 g) = \chi_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} E_3(|u_3|^2)$ and $E_2(\bar{u}_2 g) = \chi_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} (u_1 \circ \varphi_2)E_2(|u_2|^2)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} |u_3|^2 (J_3 \circ \varphi_3) d\mu \leq \int_{\varphi_3^{-1}(A)} \lambda_2 |u_3|^2 (J_2 \circ \varphi_2) d\mu.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $[T, S] = TS - ST$ for T and S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be normal if $[T, T^*] = 0$, quasinormal if $[T, T^* T] = 0$ and hyponormal if $[T, T^*] \geq 0$. Normal, quasinormal and hyponormal bounded weighted composition operators have been characterized in [2, 14] as follows:

LEMMA 2.6. Let $W = u C_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then the following assertions hold.

- (a) W is normal if and only if $(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u)} = \Sigma_{\sigma(u)}$ and $J = \chi_{\sigma(u)} J \circ \varphi$.
- (b) W is quasinormal if and only if $J = J \circ \varphi$ on $\sigma(u)$.
- (c) W is hyponormal if and only if $\sigma(u) = \sigma(J)$ and $(h \circ \varphi)E(\frac{|u|^2}{J}) \leq 1$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $W_i = u_i C_{\varphi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ with $J_1 \circ \varphi_2 = J_1$ and $J_2 \circ \varphi_1 = J_2$.

(a) If W_1 and W_2 are normal (quasinormal), then W_3 is a normal (quasinormal) operator.

(b) If W_1 and W_2 are hyponormal and $h_2 E_2 \left(\frac{|u_2|^2}{J_2} \right) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}$ is a $\varphi_1^{-1}(\Sigma)$ -measurable function, then W_3 is a hyponormal operator.

Proof. (a) Let W_i be normal operator. Then by Lemma 2.6(a), $(\varphi_i^{-1}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_i)} = \Sigma_{\sigma(u_i)}$ and $J_i = \chi_{\sigma(u_i)} J_i \circ \varphi_i$. Also, by hypotheses we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_3^{-1}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_3)} &= \varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(\Sigma)) \cap \sigma(u_3) = \varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(\Sigma)) \cap \sigma(u_2) \cap \varphi_2^{-1}(\sigma(u_1)) \\ &= \varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(\Sigma) \cap \sigma(u_1)) \cap \sigma(u_2) = \varphi_2^{-1}(\Sigma \cap \sigma(u_1)) \cap \sigma(u_2) \\ &= (\varphi_2^{-1}(\Sigma) \cap \sigma(u_2)) \cap \sigma(u_1 \circ \varphi_2) = \Sigma \cap \sigma(u_2) \cap \sigma(u_1 \circ \varphi_2) \\ &= \Sigma \cap \sigma(u_2(u_1 \circ \varphi_2)) = \Sigma \cap \sigma(u_3) = \Sigma_{\sigma(u_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $J_3 = h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} = h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2 \circ \varphi_1) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} = J_2 J_1$. Since W_1 and W_2 are normal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\sigma(u_3)} J_3 \circ \varphi_3 &= \chi_{\sigma(u_2) \cap \sigma(u_1 \circ \varphi_2)} J_3 \circ \varphi_3 \\ &= \{\chi_{\sigma(u_2)} J_2 \circ \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2\} \{\chi_{\sigma(u_1 \circ \varphi_2)} J_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2\} \\ &= \{\chi_{\sigma(u_2)} J_2 \circ \varphi_2\} \{\chi_{\sigma(u_1)} J_1 \circ \varphi_1\} \circ \varphi_2 \\ &= J_2 J_1 \circ \varphi_2 = J_2 J_1 = J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, W_3 is normal.

(b) By hypotheses, $\sigma(u_i) = \sigma(J_i)$ and $(h_i \circ \varphi_i) E_i \left(\frac{|u_i|^2}{J_i} \right) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(J_3) &= \sigma(J_2 J_1) = \varphi_2^{-1}(\sigma(J_1)) \cap \sigma(J_2) = \sigma(u_3); \\ E_2(J_1) &= J_1 = E_2(J_1) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}, \quad E_1(J_2) = J_2 = E_1(J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_2 \left(\frac{1}{J_1} \right) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{J_1} \in L^0(\varphi_2^{-1}(\Sigma)).$$

Since $h_3 \circ \varphi_3 = (h_1 \circ \varphi_3) E_1(h_2) \circ \varphi_2$ and $\sigma(E_i(h_i) \circ \varphi_i) = X$ (see [11]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_3 \circ \varphi_3) E_3 \left(\frac{|u_3|^2}{J_3} \right) &= (h_1 \circ \varphi_3) E_1 \left(h_2 E_2 \left(\frac{|u_2|^2 |u_1|^2}{J_2 J_1} \right) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} \right) \circ \varphi_2 \\ &= (h_1 \circ \varphi_3) E_1 \left(|u_1|^2 h_2 E_2 \left(\frac{|u_2|^2}{J_2 J_1} \right) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} \right) \circ \varphi_2 \\ &= \left\{ (h_1 \circ \varphi_3) E_1 \left(\frac{|u_1|^2}{J_1} \right) \circ \varphi_2 \right\} \left\{ h_2 E_2 \left(\frac{|u_2|^2}{J_2} \right) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} \right\} \circ \varphi_2 \\ &= \left\{ (h_1 \circ \varphi_1) E_1 \left(\frac{|u_1|^2}{J_1} \right) \right\} \circ \varphi_2 \left\{ (h_2 \circ \varphi_2) E_2 \left(\frac{|u_2|^2}{J_2} \right) \right\} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

An atom of the measure μ is an element $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) > 0$ such that for each $F \in \Sigma$, if $F \subset B$ then either $\mu(F) = 0$ or $\mu(F) = \mu(B)$. A measure with no atoms is called non-atomic. Write $X = (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \cup B$, where $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint atoms and B , being disjoint from each A_n , is non-atomic (see [25]). In [4] Chan proved that M_u is compact on $L^2(\Sigma)$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{x \in X : |u(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ consists of finitely many atoms. In the following, we give a sufficient condition for the product of a weighted composition operator W_1 with the adjoint of a weighted composition operator W_2^* on $L^2(\Sigma)$ to be compact. The order of the product gives rise to two different cases (see [5, 24]).

PROPOSITION 2.8. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i = u_i C_{\varphi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(a) *If for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $A = \{x \in X : (|u_2|^2(J_1 \circ \varphi_2)(h_1 \circ \varphi_2))(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ consists of finitely many atoms, then $W_1 W_2^*$ is compact.*

(b) *If for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $B = \{x \in X : h_1(x)(E_1(|u_1|^2(h_2 \circ \varphi_2)) \circ \varphi_1^{-1})(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ consists of finitely many atoms and $u_2 \in L^0(\Sigma_2)$, then $W_2^* W_1$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 W_2^*(f) &= u_1(h_2 \circ \varphi_1)(E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}) \circ \varphi_1; \\ W_2^* W_1(f) &= h_2 E_2(\bar{u}_2 u_1(f \circ \varphi_1)) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using change of variable formula and inequality $|E_2(f)|^2 \leq E_2(|f|^2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_1 W_2^*(f)\|^2 &= \int_X |u_1|^2 h_2^2 \circ \varphi_1 |E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}|^2 \circ \varphi_1 d\mu \\ &= \int_X (h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}) h_2^2 |E_2(\bar{u}_2 f) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_X J_1 h_2^2 |E_2(\bar{u}_2 f)|^2 \circ \varphi_2^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_X (J_1 \circ \varphi_2)(h_2 \circ \varphi_2) |E_2(\bar{u}_2 f)|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X (J_1 \circ \varphi_2)(h_2 \circ \varphi_2) E_2(|u_2|^2 |f|^2) d\mu \\ &= \|M_{\sqrt{|u_2|^2(J_1 \circ \varphi_2)(h_2 \circ \varphi_2)}} f\|^2 = \|M_{\sqrt{U_1}}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $U_1 := \sqrt{|u_2|^2(J_1 \circ \varphi_2)(h_2 \circ \varphi_2)}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_2^* W_1(f)\|^2 &= \int_X h_2^2 |E_2(\bar{u}_2 u_1(f \circ \varphi_1)) \circ \varphi_2^{-1}|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_X (h_2 \circ \varphi_2) |E_2(\bar{u}_2 u_1(f \circ \varphi_1))|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X (h_2 \circ \varphi_2) E_2(|u_2|^2 |u_1|^2 |f|^2 \circ \varphi_1) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X h_2^2 E_2(|u_2|^2) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} E_2(|u_1|^2 |f|^2 \circ \varphi_1) \circ \varphi_2^{-1} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_X h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2 \circ \varphi_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \|M_{\sqrt{h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2 \circ \varphi_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}}} f\|^2 = \|M_{\sqrt{U_2}}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $U_2 := \sqrt{h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2 \circ \varphi_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}}$. Since sets A and B consist of finitely many atoms, hence the corresponding multiplication operators are compact. It follows that $W_1 W_2^*$ and $W_2^* W_1$ are compact operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let $W_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(a) *If J_3 is bounded away from zero on $\sigma(J_3)$, $\sigma(E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}) = X$ and $\sigma(E_1(|u_1|^2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}) = X$, then $\mathcal{R}(W_1)$ is closed.*

(b) *Let W_1 and W_2 have closed range. If $\sigma(J_2) = X$ or $\sigma(J_2)^c$ is contained in union of a finite number of atoms, then W_3 has closed range.*

Proof. (a) Let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\sqrt{J_3}} f\|_2^2 &= \int_X h_1 E_1(|u_1|^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_X |u_1|^2 J_2 |f|^2 \circ \varphi_1 d\mu \\ &\leq \|J_2\|_\infty \int_X |u_1|^2 |f|^2 \circ \varphi_1 d\mu \\ &= \|W_2\|^2 \|M_{\sqrt{J_1}} f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{R}(M_u)$ is closed in $L^2(\Sigma)$ if and only if u is bounded away from zero on $\sigma(u)$ (see [21]). Thus there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\lambda \|f\| \leq \|M_{\sqrt{J_1}} f\|$ for each $f \in L^2(\sigma(J_3))$. By hypotheses, we have $\sigma(J_3) = \sigma(h_1)$, $\sigma(J_1) = \sigma(h_1)$ and so $\sigma(J_1) = \sigma(J_3)$. It follows that $\mathcal{R}(W_1)$ is closed.

(b) It is a classical fact that W_3 has closed range if and only if $\mathcal{N}(W_2) + \mathcal{R}(W_1)$ is closed (see [18, Corollary 1]). Now, by assumptions, $\mathcal{N}(W_2) = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{N}(W_2)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $L^2(\Sigma)$ and hence W_3 has closed range. \square

3. Semi-Kato type weighted composition operators

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an operator of semi-Kato type, if the null space of T is contained in $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^n)}$. $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called Kato if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and $\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{R}(T^n)$.

Any bounded operator that is either onto or bounded below is Kato (see [17]). The set of all semi-Kato and Kato type operators will be denoted by $\mathcal{SK}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ respectively. Obviously, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{SK}(\mathcal{H})$. Also, if $T \in \mathcal{SK}(\mathcal{H})$ and for each

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, T^n has closed range, then $T \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$. Now, for $W = uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$, Campbell and Horner in [2] proved that

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)} = c.l.s\{c_n \chi_A : A \in (\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)}\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $c_n = u_{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u \circ \varphi^i$. This holds even in case W is a densely defined unbounded operator [2, Lemma 6.2]. It is easy to check that $\|W^n f\| = \|M_{\sqrt{(J)_n}} f\|$, for all $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(W^n) &= c.l.s\{\chi_{X \setminus \sigma((J)_n)} L^2(\Sigma)\} \\ &= c.l.s\{f \in L^2(\Sigma) : f = 0 \text{ on } \sigma((J)_n)\} \\ &:= L^2(\Sigma \cap \sigma((J)_n)^c). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Also, we deduce that W^n has closed range if and only if $(J)_n$ is bounded away from zero on $\sigma((J)_n)$ (e.g., see [10]).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $W = uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$, $\Sigma_\infty := \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} (\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)}$ and let $\|c_n - 1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) $W \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}(L^2(\Sigma))$ if and only if $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c \subseteq \Sigma_\infty$.
- (b) $W \in \mathcal{H}(L^2(\Sigma))$ if and only if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(J)_n$ is bounded away from zero on $\sigma((J)_n)$ and $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c \subseteq \Sigma_\infty$.

Proof. (a) Using (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)} = c.l.s\{c_n L^2((\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)})\}$$

and $\mathcal{N}(W) = L^2(\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c)$. It follows that $W \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}(L^2(\Sigma))$ whenever $L^2(\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c) \subseteq \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} \overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)} = c.l.s\{c_\infty L^2(\overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} (\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)})\}$, where $c_\infty = \overset{\infty}{\prod}_{i=1} u \circ \varphi^i$. But by hypothesis $c_\infty = 1$ (a.e.). Hence $L^2(\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c) \subseteq L^2(\Sigma_\infty)$, and so $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c \subseteq \Sigma_\infty$. Conversely, if $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c \subseteq \Sigma_\infty$ then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c) &\subseteq L^2(\Sigma_\infty) = c_\infty L^2(\overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} (\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)}) \\ &= \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} \{c.l.s\{c_n L^2((\varphi^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(c_n)})\}\} = \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} \overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $W \in \mathcal{H}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{R}(W^n)$ is closed. So $(J)_n$ is bounded away from zero on $\sigma((J)_n)$. Moreover, $L^2(\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c) = \mathcal{N}(W) \subseteq \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} \overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)} = L^2(\Sigma_\infty)$. Conversely, if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(J)_n$ is bounded away from zero on $\sigma((J)_n)$ and $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J))^c \subseteq \Sigma_\infty$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(W^n)} = \mathcal{R}(W^n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(W) \subseteq \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}_{n=1} \mathcal{R}(W^n)$. \square

Recall that $\varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$, $u_3 = u_2 \cdot (u_1 \circ \varphi_2)$ and $u_{j(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (u_j \circ \varphi_j^i)$. Hence

$$u_{3(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (u_2 \circ \varphi_3^i)(u_1 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_3^i).$$

Let $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$. Then $u_{3(n)} = (\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_2 \circ \varphi_1^i \circ \varphi_2^i) (\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_1 \circ \varphi_2^{i+1} \circ \varphi_1^i)$. In this case if $u_2 \circ \varphi_1 = u_2$, then $\sigma(u_{3(n)}) \subseteq \sigma(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_2 \circ \varphi_2^i) = \sigma(u_{2(n)})$. Moreover, if $u_1 \circ \varphi_2 = u_1$, then $u_{3(n)} = (u_{2(n)}) \cdot (u_{1(n)})$ and hence $\sigma(u_{3(n)}) = \sigma(u_{2(n)}) \cap \sigma(u_{1(n)})$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, define $\Sigma_\infty^i := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi_i^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_{i(n)})}$. Then

$$\Sigma_\infty^3 = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi_2^{-n}(\varphi_1^{-n}(\Sigma)))_{\sigma(u_{3(n)})} \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi_2^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_{2(n)})},$$

also, $\Sigma_\infty^3 \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^1$. So, if $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$, $u_1 \circ \varphi_2 = u_1$ and $u_2 \circ \varphi_1 = u_2$, then $\Sigma_\infty^3 \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^1 \cap \Sigma_\infty^2$.

THEOREM 3.3. *For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $W_i = u_i C_{\varphi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ and let $\|u_{i(n)} - 1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(a) *If $W_i \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$, $\sigma(E_1(u_1^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}) = \sigma(E_1(J_2))$ and $\Sigma_\infty^1 \cup \Sigma_\infty^2 \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^3$, then $W_3 \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$.*

(b) *If $W_3 \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$, $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$, $u_2 \circ \varphi_1 = u_2$ and $u_1 \circ \varphi_2 = u_1$, then $W_1 \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$.*

Proof. (a) Recall that $J_3 = h_1 E_1(u_1^2 J_2) \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ and $\sigma(J_1) \subseteq \sigma(h_1)$. Then by hypothesis and Theorem 3.2(a), we have $\Sigma \cap (\sigma(J_3))^c = \Sigma \cap \{\sigma(h_1) \cap \sigma(E_1(J_2))\}^c = \Sigma \cap \{(\sigma(h_1))^c \cup (\sigma(J_2))^c\} \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^1 \cup \Sigma_\infty^2 \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^3$, and so $W_3 \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$.

(b) By our assumptions $\Sigma_\infty^3 \subseteq \Sigma_\infty^1$ and $u_{3(n)} = (u_{2(n)}) \cdot (u_{1(n)})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $u_{3(\infty)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{3(n)} = (u_{2(\infty)}) \cdot (u_{1(\infty)}) = 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(W_1) &\subseteq \mathcal{N}(W_3) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \overline{\mathcal{R}(W_3^n)} \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty c.l.s\{u_{3(n)} L^2((\varphi_3^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_{3(n)})})\} \\ &= L^2(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi_3^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_{3(n)})}) \\ &= L^2(\Sigma_\infty^3) \subseteq L^2(\Sigma_\infty^1) \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty c.l.s\{u_{1(n)} L^2((\varphi_1^{-n}(\Sigma))_{\sigma(u_{1(n)})})\} \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \overline{\mathcal{R}(W_1^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $W_1 \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{K}(L^2(\Sigma))$. \square

4. Ascent and descent of weighted composition operators

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Banach space \mathcal{B} . Recall that for each non-negative integer k , $\mathcal{N}(T^k) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T^{k+1})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^{k+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^k)$. The ascent $\alpha(T)$ of T is the least non-negative integer such that $\mathcal{N}(T^k) = \mathcal{N}(T^{k+1})$, for all $k \geq \alpha(T)$ and the descent $d(T)$ of T is the least non-negative integer such that $\mathcal{R}(T^k) =$

$\mathcal{B}(T^{k+1})$, for all $k \geq d(T)$. It is a classical fact that if $\alpha(T) < \infty$ and $d(T) < \infty$ then $\alpha(T) = d(T)$. For more comprehensive study, we refer the reader to [23].

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, φ be nonsingular and let $W = uC_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. For this u and φ , we define the measure $\mu_{u,\varphi}^n$ by

$$\mu_{u,\varphi}^n(A) = \begin{cases} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 d\mu & n = 1; \\ \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 d\mu_{u,\varphi}^{n-1} & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{u,\varphi}^2 &\ll \mu_{u,\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-2} \ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu; \\ \mu_{u,\varphi}^{n+1} &\ll \mu_{u,\varphi}^n \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu_{u,\varphi}^{n-1} \circ \varphi^{-2} \ll \dots \ll \mu_{u,\varphi}^1 \circ \varphi^{-n} \\ &\ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-(n+1)} \ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-n} \ll \dots \ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu. \end{aligned}$$

We prove by induction that

$$\mu_{u,\varphi}^n(A) = \int_A (J)_n d\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \Sigma.$$

It is clear that $d\mu_{u,\varphi} = Jd\mu$. Suppose $d\mu_{u,\varphi}^k = (J)_k d\mu$ holds for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{u,\varphi}^n(A) &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 d\mu_{u,\varphi}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 (J)_{n-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 E^{n-1}(|u_{(n-1)}|^2) \circ \varphi^{-(n-1)} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-(n-1)} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-n}(A)} |u \circ \varphi^{(n-1)}|^2 E^{n-1}(|u_{(n-1)}|^2) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-n}(A)} |u_{(n)}|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_A (h)_n E^n(|u_{(n)}|^2) \circ \varphi^{-n} d\mu \\ &= \int_A (J)_n d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d\mu_{u,\varphi}^n/d\mu = (J)_n$. Now, set $Q_0 = J_0 = 1$ and $Q_n = hE(Q_{n-1}|u|^2) \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then $Q_1 = J = (J)_1$, and so $d\mu_{u,\varphi} = Q_1 d\mu$. Suppose $d\mu_{u,\varphi}^k = Q_k d\mu$ holds for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then for each $A \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{u,\varphi}^n(A) &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 d\mu_{u,\varphi}^{n-1} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} |u|^2 Q_{n-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_A hE(Q_{n-1}|u|^2) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu = \int_A Q_n d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

So, $d\mu_{u,\varphi}^n/d\mu = Q_n$. Thus, we conclude that

$$(J)_n = (h)_n E^n(|u_{(n)}|^2) \circ \varphi^{-n} = hE(Q_{n-1}|u|^2) \circ \varphi^{-1} = Q_n.$$

The measure ν and μ are called equivalent on Σ if $\mu \ll \nu \ll \mu$ and denoted by $\mu \simeq \nu$. In [13] Kumar has characterized the weighted composition operators on $L^2(\Sigma)$ whose ascent and descent is 1. The following theorem characterizes weighted composition operators with finite ascent.

THEOREM 4.1. $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then $\alpha(W) = n_0$ if and only if $\mu_{u,\varphi^{n_0+1}} \simeq \mu_{u,\varphi^{n_0}}$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{N}(W^n) = \chi_{X_n} L^2(\Sigma) = L^2(X_n)$, where $X_n = \{x \in X : (J)_n(x) = 0\}$. Now, suppose $\alpha(W) = n_0$. Thus $\mathcal{N}(W^{n_0}) = \mathcal{N}(W^{n_0+1})$, by definition. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(W) = n_0 &\iff \mathcal{N}(W^{n_0}) = \mathcal{N}(W^{n_0+1}) \\ &\iff L^2(X_{n_0}) = L^2(X_{n_0+1}) \\ &\iff X_{n_0} = X_{n_0+1} \\ &\iff ((J)_{n_0}|_A = 0 \iff (J)_{n_0+1}|_A = 0, \forall A \in \Sigma) \\ &\iff (\mu_{u,\varphi}^{n_0}(A) = \int_A (J)_{n_0} d\mu = 0 \iff \mu_{u,\varphi}^{n_0+1}(A) = \int_A (J)_{n_0+1} d\mu = 0, \forall A \in \Sigma) \\ &\iff \mu_{u,\varphi}^{n_0+1} \simeq \mu_{u,\varphi}^{n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 4.2. Let $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$, $A_n := \sigma(u_{(n)})$ and let, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Sigma_n = \varphi^{-n}(\Sigma)$ be a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ . Then $d(W) = n_0 < \infty$ if and only if the following assertions hold.

- (a) $\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1} = \Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0}$, and
- (b) $L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})$ is an invariant subspace for $M_{\frac{\chi_{A_{n_0}}}{u \circ \varphi^{n_0}}}$.

Proof. Let $d(W) = n_0$. Since $\Sigma_{n_0+1} \subseteq \Sigma_{n_0}$ and $A_{n_0+1} \subseteq A_{n_0}$, so $\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1} \subseteq \Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0}$. Let $A \in \Sigma$ and take $u_{(n_0)} = u(u \circ \varphi)(u \circ \varphi^2) \cdots (u \circ \varphi^{n_0-1})$. We shall show that $A_{n_0} \cap \varphi^{-n_0}(A) \in \Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1}$. By hypothesis $R(W^{n_0}) = R(W^{n_0+1})$ and n_0 is finite. Hence W has closed range. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) &= \{u_{(n_0)}f : f \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})\}; \\ \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1}) &= \{u_{(n_0+1)}g : g \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $B = \varphi^{-n_0}(A) \cap A_{n_0}$ and choose $f = \chi_B \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})$. Hence there exists $g \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1})$ such that $u_{(n_0)}f = u_{(n_0+1)}g$. Since $A_{n_0} = \sigma(u_{(n_0)})$, $B = \sigma(u_{(n_0)})\chi_B = \sigma(g) \cap A_{n_0+1}$. But $\sigma(g) \cap A_{n_0+1} \in (\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1})$. Consequently, $\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0} \subseteq \Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1}$. This proves (a).

To prove (b), suppose $f \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})$. Then, by hypothesis, $u_{(n_0)}f = u_{(n_0+1)}g$ for some $g \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1})$.

It follows that $f\chi_{A_{n_0}} = (u \circ \varphi^{n_0})\chi_{A_{n_0}}g$, and so

$$\chi_{A_{n_0+1}}g = \frac{f}{u \circ \varphi^{n_0}}\chi_{A_{n_0+1}} \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1}).$$

This implies $\frac{f}{u \circ \varphi^{n_0}}\chi_{A_{n_0+1}} \in L^2((\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0}))$, by part (a).

Conversely, assume that (a) and (b) hold. From (a) we see that $L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0}) = L^2(\Sigma_{n_0+1} \cap A_{n_0+1})$, and so $A_{n_0} = A_{n_0+1}$. Since $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$, it will thus be sufficient to prove $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1})$. Let $u_{(n_0)}f \in \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ for some $f \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})$. Then $g = (f/(u \circ \varphi^{n_0}))\chi_{A_{n_0}} \in L^2(\Sigma_{n_0} \cap A_{n_0})$, by part (b). But, this implies that $u_{(n_0+1)}g = u_{(n_0)}f \in \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1})$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ and $\alpha(W) = d(W) = n_0 < \infty$. Then by [12, Theorem 1.12], $L^2(\Sigma) = L^2(X_{n_0}) \oplus \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ and the restriction of W to $L^2(X_{n_0})$ is nilpotent and W , when restricted to $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$, is bijection. Note that, in the proof of surjectivity of W on $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ we need not to have $\alpha(W) = n_0 < \infty$. Moreover, since $\frac{L^2(\Sigma)}{\mathcal{N}(W^{n_0})}$ algebraically isomorphic with $\chi_{\sigma((J)_{n_0})}L^2(\Sigma) := L^2(X_{n_0}^c)$, so $L^2(X_{n_0}^c)$ isomorphic with $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) = \{u_{(n_0)} \cdot (f \circ \varphi^{n_0}) : f \in L^2((J)_{n_0}d\mu)\}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $W \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$. Then $d(W) < \infty$ if and only if W , when restricted to $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$, is onto mapping of $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ to all of itself for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $d(W) = n_0 < \infty$ and choose $f \in \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$. Since $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) = \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1})$, there exists $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ such that $W(W^{n_0}(g)) = f$ and $W^{n_0}(g) \in \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$. This implies that $W : \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ is onto. Conversely, if for some non-negative integer n_0 , $W : \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$ is onto, then $\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0+1}) = W(\mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})) = \mathcal{R}(W^{n_0})$, and thus $d(W) \leq n_0 < \infty$. \square

In [16] Morrel and Muhly introduced the concept of a centered operator. Let H be the infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space. An operator T on a Hilbert space H is said to be centered if the doubly infinite sequence $\{T^n T^{*n}, T^{*m} T^m : n, m \geq 0\}$ consists of mutually commuting operators. Let C_{φ_1} and C_{φ_2} be normal operators and let $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$. By Fuglede-Putnam theorem we have $C_{\varphi_j} C_{\varphi_i}^* = C_{\varphi_i}^* C_{\varphi_j}$. Since normal operators are centered, it follows that $C_{\varphi_3} = C_{\varphi_2} C_{\varphi_1}$ is centered. In [7], Embry-Wardrop and Lambert proved that the composition operator $C_\varphi \in B(L^2(\Sigma))$ is centered if and only if h is Σ_∞ -measurable, where $\Sigma_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \Sigma_n$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Sigma))$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Σ_n is a sub-sigma finite algebra of Σ . If $d(C_\varphi) = k$ and h is Σ_k -measurable, then C_φ is centered.*

Proof. By hypothesis, $L^2(\Sigma_k) = L^2(\Sigma_n)$ for all $n \geq k$. Thus $\Sigma_\infty = \Sigma_k$. Now, the desired conclusion follows from [7, Theorem 5]. \square

Let $w = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq m_n \leq \beta$. Set $l^2(w) = L^2(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$, where $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the power set of natural numbers and μ is a measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\mu(\{n\}) = m_n$. For $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, suppose $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(l^2(w))$. In the following we give a characterization of C_φ on $l^2(w)$ whose ascent and descent are infinite.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(l^2(w))$. Then the following assertions are hold.*

(a) $\alpha(C_\varphi) = \infty$ if and only if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence of distinct integers $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_k \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi^k)$ but $n_k \notin \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{k+1})$.

(b) $d(C_\varphi) = \infty$ if and only if φ , when restricted to $\mathcal{R}(\varphi^k)$, is not injective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (a) Set $X_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (h)_k(n) = 0\}$. Because $(h)_{k+1} = (h)_k(E_k(h)) \circ \varphi^{-1}$, $X_k \subseteq X_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(h)_k(n) = \frac{1}{m_n} \sum_{j \in \varphi^{-k}(n)} m_j$, $(h)_k(n) = 0$ if and only if $n \notin \mathcal{R}(\varphi^k)$. Thus, $X_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin \mathcal{R}(\varphi^k)\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(C_\varphi) = \infty &\iff L^2(X_k) \subset L^2(X_{k+1}), \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff X_k \subset X_{k+1}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n_k \in \mathbb{N} : n_k \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi^k) \setminus \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\mathcal{R}(\varphi^k) \setminus \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{k+1})) \cap (\mathcal{R}(\varphi^{k-1}) \setminus \mathcal{R}(\varphi^k)) = \emptyset$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{n_0})$. Then $\varphi^{n_0}(p) = n$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(h)_{n_0}(n) = \frac{1}{m_n} \sum_{j \in \varphi^{-n_0}(n)} m_j \geq \frac{m_p}{m_n}.$$

Thus $(h)_{n_0} \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ on $\sigma((h)_{n_0}) = \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{n_0})$, and so $C_{\varphi^{n_0}}$ has closed range. First, we show that $\mathcal{R}(C_{\varphi^{n_0}}) = L^2(X_{n_0}^c)$, where $X_{n_0}^c = \sigma((h)_{n_0})$. For this, let $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then $\|C_{\varphi^{n_0}}(f)\|^2 = \|\chi_{X_{n_0}^c} f\|_{(h)_{n_0} d\mu}$. This implies that the mapping $\Lambda(\chi_{X_{n_0}^c} f) = f \circ \varphi^{n_0}$ from $L^2(X_{n_0}^c)$ onto $\mathcal{R}(C_{\varphi^{n_0}}) = \{f \circ \varphi^{n_0} : f \in L^2((h)_{n_0} d\mu)\}$ is an isometry isomorphism. Now, by Proposition 4.3, $d(C_\varphi) = n_0 < \infty$ if and only if $C_\varphi : L^2(X_{n_0}^c) \rightarrow L^2(X_{n_0}^c)$ is onto. But, it is a classical fact that $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X_{n_0}^c))$ is surjective if and only if $\varphi^{n_0} : X_{n_0}^c \rightarrow X_{n_0}^c$ is injective (see [22]). But, $X_{n_0}^c = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : (h)_{n_0}(k) > 0\} = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi^{n_0})\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and constructive comments.

REFERENCES

[1] P. BUDZYŃSKI, Z. J. JABŁOŃSKI, I. B. JUNG, J. STOCHEL, *Unbounded weighted composition operators in L^2 -spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2209. Springer, 2018.
 [2] J. T. CAMPBELL AND W. E. HORNOR, *Seminormal composition operators*, J. Operator Theory **29** (1993), 323–343.
 [3] J. T. CAMPBELL AND J. JAMISON, *On some classes of weighted composition operators*, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990), 87–94.

- [4] J. T. CHAN, *A note on compact weighted composition operators on $L^p(\mu)$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **56** (1992), 165–168.
- [5] J. H. CLIFFORD, T. LE AND A. WIGGINS, *Invertible composition operators: the product of a composition operator with the adjoint of a composition operator*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **8** (2014), 1699–1706.
- [6] R. DOUGLAS, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–415.
- [7] M. EMBRY-WARDROP, A. LAMBERT, *Measurable transformations and centred composition operators*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A, **90** (1990), 165–172.
- [8] J. HERRON, *Weighted conditional expectation operators on L^p spaces*, UNC Charlotte Doctoral Dissertation, 2004.
- [9] T. HOOVER, A. LAMBERT AND J. QUINN, *The Markov process determined by a weighted composition operator*, Stdia. Math. **LXXII** (1982), 225–235.
- [10] M. R. JABBARZADEH AND M. JAFARI BAKHSHKANDI, *Stability constants for weighted composition operators on $L^p(\Sigma)$* , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **24** (2017), 271–281.
- [11] M. R. JABBARZADEH AND S. KARIMI, *Composition operator and their products on $L^2(\Sigma)$* , Mat. Vesnik **69** (2017), 1–11.
- [12] D. KITSON, *Ascent and descent for sets of operators*, Studia Math. **191** (2009), 151–161.
- [13] R. KUMAR, *Ascent and descent of weighted composition operators on L^p -spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **60** (2008), 47–51.
- [14] A. LAMBERT, *Hyponormal composition operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (1986), 395–400.
- [15] A. LAMBERT, *Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **118** (1991), 111–118.
- [16] B. B. MORREL AND P. S. MUHLY, *Centered operators*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 251–263.
- [17] V. MÜLLER, *Spectral theory of linear operators, operator theory advances and applications*, vol. 139, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-New York, 2003.
- [18] G. NIKAIDO, *Remarks on the lower bound of linear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **56** (1980), 321–323.
- [19] M. M. RAO, *Conditional measure and applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [20] K. SCHMÜDGEN, *Unbounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012.
- [21] R. K. SINGH AND A. KUMAR, *Multiplication operators and composition operators with closed ranges*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **16** (1977), 247–252.
- [22] R. K. SINGH AND J. S. MANHAS, *Composition operators on function spaces*, North Holland Math. Studies 179, Amsterdam 1993.
- [23] A. E. TAYLOR AND D. C. LAY, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [24] M. F. WANG AND P. D. LIU, *Compactness of product operators on the Bergman space*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **21** (2005), 723–732.
- [25] A. C. ZAAANEN, *Integration, 2nd ed.*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

(Received February 13, 2019)

M. R. Jabbarzadeh
 Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Tabriz
 51666-15648, Tabriz, Iran
 e-mail: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir

M. Gheyarani
 Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Tabriz
 51666-15648, Tabriz, Iran
 e-mail: m.geytaran@tabrizu.ac.ir

